IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION CIVIL ACTION NO.: 5:22-cv-00068-BO

YOLANDA IRVING, individually and as the natural parent and guardian of J.I. JUWAN HARRINGTON, CYDNEEA HARRINGTON, KENYA WALTON, individually and as the natural parent and guardian of R.W., ZIYEL WHITLEY, DYAMOND WHITLEY, KAMISHA WHITLEY, NANETTA GRANT as the natural parent and guardian of Z.G., and EMANCIPATE NC, INC.

Plaintiffs,

vs.

THE CITY OF RALEIGH, Officer OMAR I.
ABDULLAH, Sergeant WILLIAM ROLFE, Officer
RISHAR PIERRE MONROE, Officer JULIEN DAVID
RATTELADE, and Officer MEGHAN CAROLINE GAY,
Officer DAVID MEAD, Officer JESUS ORTIZ,
Officer KYLE PERRIN, Officer MICHAEL
MOLLERE, Officer KYLE THOMPSON, Officer
VINCENT DEBONIS, Officer DANIEL TWIDDY,
Officer THOMAS WEBB, Officer DAVID
MCDONALD, Officer DAVID GARNER, Chief of
Police ESTELLA PATTERSON and City Manager
MARCHELL ADAMS-DAVID, in their official
capacities,

Defendants.

<u>DEPOSITION</u>

OF

SERGEANT JULIEN DAVID RATTELADE

At Raleigh, North Carolina

Tuesday, February 28, 2023

REPORTER: DEBORAH A. HYDE

REED & ASSOCIATES

980.339.3575

APPEARING

On Behalf of the Plaintiffs:

ABRAHAM RUBERT-SCHEWEL, ESQUIRE Tin Fulton Walker & Owen, PLLC 119 East Main Street Durham, North Carolina 27701 919-451-9216 schewel@tinfulton.com

On Behalf of Defendants City of Raleigh, Estella Patterson, and Marchell Adams-David:

DOROTHY V. KIBLER, ESQUIRE City of Raleigh Attorney's Office Post Office Box 590 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602 919-996-6560 dorothy.kibler@raleighnc.gov

On Behalf of Defendant Officers David Mead, Jesus Ortiz, Kyle Perrin, Michael Mollere, Kyle Thompson, Vincent Debonis, Daniel Twiddy, Thomas Webb, David McDonald, and David Garner:

LESLIE C. PACKER, ESQUIRE Ellis & Winters LLP 4131 Parklake Avenue, Suite 400 Raleigh, North Carolina 27612 919-865-7009 leslie.packer@elliswinters.com

On Behalf of Defendant Officer Omar I. Abdullah:

JESSICA C. DIXON, ESQUIRE Parker Poe Adams & Bernstein, LLP 620 South Tryon Street, Suite 800 Charlotte, North Carolina 28202 704-372-9000 jessicadixon@parkerpoe.com

(Continued)

APPEARING

On Behalf of Defendant Officers Rishar Pierre Monroe, Julien David Rattelade, and Meghan Caroline Gay:

RODNEY E. PETTEY, ESQUIRE
ALAYNA M. POOLE, ESQUIRE
Yates, McLamb & Weyher, LLP
Two Hannover Square
434 Fayetteville Street, Suite 2200
Raleigh, North Carolina 27601
919-835-0900
rpettey@ymwlaw.com
apoole@ymwlaw.com

On Behalf of Defendant Officer William Rolfe:

NORWOOD P. BLANCHARD, III Crossley McIntosh Collier Hanley & Edes, PLLC 5002 Randall Parkway Wilmington, North Carolina 28403 910-762-9711 norwood@cmclawfirm.com

* * * * *

CONTENTS

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| Examination by Mr. Schewel: | 5 |
| Examination by Mr. Blanchard: | 166 |
| Further Examination by Mr. Schewel: | 170 |
| Further Examination by Mr. Blanchard: | 173 |
| | |
| <u>PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBITS</u> | |
| | 100 |
| Exhibit 2 - Rattelade IA Interview of 8/14/20 | 109 |
| Exhibit 3 - Meghan Gay Cell Phone Text Messages | 123 |
| Exhibit 4 - VanIrvin Search Warrant of 5/21/20 | 117 |
| Exhibit 5 - Rattelade IA Interview of 10/20/21 | 81 |
| Exhibit 6 - Rattelade SBI Interview | 36 |
| Exhibit 7 - Felony Investigative Report of Sherrod Anton Smith | 111 |
| Exhibit 8 - Rattelade Third Follow-up Internal Affairs Interview | 49 |
| Exhibit 9 - VanIrvin 5/21 Buy, Video Recording | 155 |
| Exhibit 10 - Banks-Howard Video Recording | 58 |

(Exhibits provided with the transcript.)

Reporter's Note: This transcript contains quoted material. Such material is reproduced as read or quoted by the speaker.

This is the deposition of SERGEANT JULIEN DAVID RATTELADE, taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in connection with the above case.

Pursuant to Notice, this deposition is being taken in the offices of Yates, McLamb & Weyher,
434 Fayetteville Street, Suite 2200, Raleigh, North
Carolina, beginning at 10:24 a.m. on Tuesday,
February 28, 2023, before Deborah A. Hyde, Certified
Verbatim Reporter and Notary Public.

* * *

Whereupon,

SERGEANT JULIEN DAVID RATTELADE

was called as a witness and, having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

Q. My name is Abraham Rubert-Schewel. I'm with the law firm of Tin, Fulton, Walker and Owen. We represent the Plaintiffs in this lawsuit against the City of Raleigh and individually named officers such as yourself.

You are testifying under oath and under the penalty of perjury today just as if you were in a court of law. Do you understand that?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Have you ever been deposed before?

- A. This is the first time.
- Q. Have you ever been party to a lawsuit other than this case or the Washington litigation?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. As you can tell, these questions and answers are being transcribed by a court reporter, meaning it's very important that you speak loudly and clearly, which you've done so far.

You must also answer questions verbally; for example, using "yes" or "no," not phrases such as "uh-huh." Do you understand?

- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And I assume you've testified in court before?
- A. Many times.
 - Q. Your attorney, Mr. Pettey or Ms. Poole, may object to certain questions that I ask. You should know that you are still required to answer every question unless instructed not to, which should happen rarely. Otherwise, you're required to answer each question truthfully and completely as possible. Do you understand?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Please state and spell your name.
- A. Julien David Rattelade. It's J-u-l-i-e-n David,
 D-a-v-i-d, Rattelade, R-a-t-t-e-l-a-d-e.

- You started with RPD in 1999? 1 Ο. 2 Α. Yes, sir. And you joined Drugs and Vice in 2015? 3 Q. 4 Α. Yes, sir. And you're a member of vice team 1? 5 Q. 6 At the time, I was. I'm no longer with that Α. 7 team. 8 Q. In February of 2022, you were transferred to a 9 different unit? 10 Yes, sir. Α. What unit is that? 11 Ο. I was promoted to the rank of sergeant, and I 12 Α. 13 took over supervision of downtown district, Squad C. 14 Q. What are your responsibilities there? 15 Supervising the patrol officers assigned to that Α. 16 unit. 17 Prior to leaving vice in 2022, who was your 18 supervisor?
 - A. When I left, it was Sergeant Kenneth Barber.
 - Prior to that, it was Sergeant Scott Rolfe.
 - Q. When Sergeant Barber came to the unit, did he instill any new policies?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. Any new procedures?
- 25 A. No, sir.

20

21

22

23

- Q. Did he have any discussions with you about the situation that happened with Aspirin and Abdullah?

 A. Not that I recall.

 Q. Did any members of RPD have discussions with you or your unit about the situation?

 A. As far as a Internal Affairs investigation, we were investigated by them.

 Q. Okay, but your testimony is that nobody from RPD
 - came down and said, you know, "We need to start doing things differently"?
 - A. I mean, those discussions were ongoing, yes.
- Q. Okay. So who did you have those discussions with?
 - A. I mean, members of the squad. If I'm getting your question correctly, I mean, we were talking amongst ourselves of some change that could occur.
 - Q. Okay. So you and other members of the vice unit?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And what changes did you think should occur?
 - A. A piece of equipment that we would like to see for field testing.
 - Q. Okay. And that was so you could test fentanyl safely or heroin that may be laced with fentanyl safely?

24

- Yes, sir. 1 Α. 2 Is it your opinion that fentanyl is a dangerous Ο. substance? 3 4 Α. Yes. 5 Q. In what ways can it be dangerous? 6 It can cause an overdose through depressing your Α. 7 respiratory system and be fatal if not treated. 8 And is it your opinion that it can cause that 9 through ingestion? 10 Α. Ingestion, inhalation, absorption. There's multiple ways it can be ingested. 11 12 Ο. Okay. So your testimony is that exposure to 13 fentanyl could cause those symptoms that you just stated? 14 Α. Yes, sir. And by "exposure," you mean simply field testing 15 Ο. it? 16 17 Correct. Α. 18 And I guess field testing it with the device Q. 19 that you all were using previously? 20 Correct. It was just a chemical reaction test. Α. 21 0. And when you do the chemical reaction test, how 22 would you be exposed to the narcotic?
 - A. You would have to open the package, place it on something small to get a sample of it from the baggie, and then put it inside the plastic pouch.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Okay. And so your concern is that, by just opening the bag, you could have been exposed to some sort of fentanyl that could have caused those reactions you described?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Have you ever seen any officer suffer from those reactions that you described from fentanyl?
 - A. Through training, yes.
 - Q. So you mean in video?
- A. In video.
- Q. Okay. And could you describe what happened in that video?
- A. Officer was exposed to fentanyl, started getting kind of woozy and just collapsed on the road and started having respiratory distress. Another officer used Narcan to counteract the effects and that officer was sent to the hospital.
- Q. How was the officer exposed in the video?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Have you ever seen an officer in RPD suffer those reactions from fentanyl exposure?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Did you meet with Mr. Pettey before testifying today?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

Α.

Did you consult with a criminal attorney before 1 Ο. 2 preparing to testify today? No, sir. 3 Α. 4 Q. Do you intend to invoke your Fifth Amendment right to remain silent in response to any questions? 5 Α. No, sir. 6 7 Have you met with any attorneys in the Wake 8 County District Attorney's office related to the prosecution of Officer Abdullah? 9 10 Yes. Α. Would that be David Saacks? 11 Ο. I believe so. 12 Α. 13 How about Lorrin Freeman? Ο. 14 Α. I have not met with her. 15 Any other DAs? Q. 16 Α. No, sir. 17 When did you meet with David Saacks? Q. 18 Last year. I don't remember the date. Α. 19 Q. Was Officer Gay present for that meeting? It was just me and the district attorney. 20 Α. 21 Ο. Why did you meet with the district attorney --22 sorry, strike that question. 23 How did you come to meet with the district 24 attorney?

They requested my presence at the DA's office.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I showed up and we had a meeting.

- Q. Did they email you?
- A. I don't recall the method of communication.
- Q. Who requested your presence?
- A. The -- I'm assuming it was DA Saacks.
- Q. Okay. What information did you provide Mr. Saacks?
- A. I gave him an account of what occurred after the searches. I believe it was May 20th or 21st of -- would have been 2020 -- on the -- your Plaintiff's residence.

 We described what occurred back at the office involving my comm- -- what happened between me and Detective Abdullah.
- Q. Okay. Did you go into any other detail about -- about Office Abdullah?
 - A. Just my opinion that -- of his work.
 - Q. Okay. And what did you tell Mr. Saacks?
- A. My personal opinion was that he had sloppy work.
 - Q. Did you give ADA Saacks any examples of that?
 - A. I don't recall any specifics, examples. I think it was just a general conversation about his past performance. The focus of the meeting was the events of that day in question.
 - Q. Okay. Did you discuss with ADA Saacks any previous controlled buys related to Officer Abdullah that

1 | you were involved in?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I don't recall if we spoke about previous buys.
- Q. Did you relay to Mr. Saacks that you were concerned about any previous buys?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Now, do you intend to testify at a trial, if it occurs, related to Officer Abdullah?
- A. If I'm subpoenaed to testify, I will be there and I will testify.
- Q. Were you offered or given any sort of immunity in exchange for your cooperation?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Is it your understanding that you are subject to prosecution or any sort of criminal charges related to this incident?
- A. To my knowledge, I am not under any investigation.
- Q. Did ADA Saacks tell you that?
- A. No.
- Q. Has anyone told you that?
 - A. Just making the assumption. I've been interviewed by Internal Affairs, I've been interviewed by the SBI, and quite amount of time has passed.
- Q. And no one has ever said you're under investigation?

A. Correct.

- Q. Okay. So on May 21st, 2020, when you returned back to Green Dairy, you field tested the alleged heroin?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And what device did you use to field test the heroin?
- A. I used some sort of cutting instrument to open the package, introduced a sample to the chemical reaction test. I think the manufacturer is Sirchie. Conducted the field test by breaking the ampules, which introduces a mixture of acids to the sample, and you're looking for a rapid color change through chemical reaction. That did not occur, so to me it was a negative field test.
- Q. Why did you feel comfortable testing it in this instance?
 - A. Because it was my opinion that it was not heroin.
 - Q. So you weren't worried about fentanyl exposure?
 - A. At that particular moment, no.
 - Q. At Green Dairy, does the vice team have any sort of masks or gloves you could use to prevent fentanyl exposure?
- A. We typically always have gloves. We don't have any sort of special masks or anything like that. There is a vented hood testing device at our evidence unit,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

which is located at another location, but it's not connected to any outside ductwork, so I'd be very suspicious of its effectiveness.

- Q. Okay. And, I mean, are you aware if a mask is effective or not in preventing fentanyl exposure?
- A. I don't have a, I guess, a professional opinion about the effectiveness of the mask. I just know that there is a threat of fentanyl and I've, through training videos, seen the effects of the fentanyl exposure.
 - Q. Have you arrested someone with fentanyl on them?
- 11 A. Yes.
 - Q. Have you ever been exposed to fentanyl?
- 13 A. I believe so.
 - Q. Okay. And did you have a reaction?
 - A. I mean, not at that -- I guess what I'm asking, what is your definition of "exposure"? Was I around it or did I have it put on me?
 - Q. I just -- were you around it?
 - A. I've been around it, yes.
 - Q. Can you describe that situation?
 - A. A gentleman took off from a buy bust. He was taken into custody after a short foot pursuit, removed a clear baggy from his pocket, which we thought was cocaine. A field test later showed -- or lab tests later showed it was fentanyl.

25

Q.

1 Ο. Okay. And can you describe how you were around 2 it? 3 I removed it from his pocket, placed it in the Α. evidence bag. 4 Did you open it? 5 Q. Α. No. 6 7 Okay. Have you ever opened a bag that contained Q. 8 fentanyl? 9 Α. To my knowledge, I don't -- I don't know if I've 10 personally done that. Okay. So on May 21, 2020, you placed some of 11 Ο. the alleged heroin into a bag? 12 13 Α. It's like a thick plastic pouch. 14 Q. Okay. And what did you do after that? 15 I broke the ampules of acid in sequence and Α. 16 concluded that it was a negative field test. 17 Q. Because it did not show any color or it did not show the positive color? 18 19 Α. Didn't show a positive color. 20 Which is what? Q. For that test, it's like a olive green. 21 Α. 22 Did you preserve these results? Ο. 23 No, sir. It's a liquid chemical reaction with Α.

Did you take a picture of it?

acids and stuff. You just throw it away.

1 A. I did not.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

- Q. Did you provide it to Officer Abdullah?
- A. I did. I -- verbally, I showed -- or I visually showed it to him and verbally explained to him my opinion.
 - Q. Do you know if he took a picture of it?
- 7 A. I do not know.
 - Q. What did you do after you did the test?
 - A. I explained to Detective Abdullah that it was not heroin, that my opinion was that the person had sold a counterfeit controlled substance to the informant and, therefore, the person should be charged with sale of a counterfeit controlled substance, deliver counterfeit controlled substance, and possession with intent to sell and distribute a counterfeit controlled substance.
 - Q. You said all that to him?
 - A. Yes, and I put it in writing.
 - Q. Where did you put that in writing?
 - A. On a dry-erase board.
- Q. As far as you know, was that documented in any way?
 - A. No, sir. The dry-erase board is just used temporarily to put up information so that everyone can conduct their assignment without having to ask a hundred questions.

- 1 Ο. Why did you feel the need to put that up on the 2 dry-erase board? Because it was my opinion that the CI had been 3 Α. 4 played and had -- he had been duped, and I thought that 5 this was a pattern of him being duped. Okay. Had you ever done that in the past where 6 Q. 7 you put up the charges you believe should occur or should 8 be given to someone else's informant? 9 It's common to write up the proper charges up 10 there, but not in the manner that it occurred that day. 11 Ο. Tell me what you mean by that. That I was basically, emphatically telling 12 Α. 13 Detective Abdullah, like, "Your informant had been
 - Q. But you said it's not common to do that in the way that you did it on that day. How would you do it otherwise?

played," like, "This is the proper charge."

- A. Just write it on the board versus going to him, showing it to him and explaining why this is the proper charge. You would just write the charge up there and it would be accepted.
- Q. Because, normally, there's an agreement on the charge?
 - A. Correct.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And why are you writing the charge on the board

as opposed to any other officer?

- A. Because it's next to my -- it was next to my desk at the time and I was the one that conducted the field test.
- Q. Okay. Had there been other occasions in the past where you had written the charge up on the board for other people's CIs?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Tell me how that would occur, why that would occur.
- A. After we -- an example would be as we conduct a search warrant on a residence, we come back -- let's say, for example, I was the investigating detective or the lead officer. The lead officer typically would write very basic information on the board. It would include a case number, date, time, location, anyone that's in custody, their identifying information.

Whoever is collecting the evidence would typically document what evidence was recovered on the board and then, that way, we could determine what the proper charges are, because there's -- everyone's got a different role.

If I was the lead investigator, I would be in the interview room conducting interviews. I would have someone processing my evidence for me. I would have

someone doing my paperwork for me, as far as drafting up arrest documents that -- if I was the lead, at that point, I would go swear to.

- Q. Okay. So it's fairly common, then, for other officers on the vice team to put up information on the board such as what the proper charges are?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And this writing on the board of details related to the operation, does that occur after almost every operation?
- A. It's routine. I wouldn't say it occurs after every operation.
 - O. Is the board ever documented?
- A. No, sir. It's just -- their stuff is written up there, the information is used, the assignments are completed, and then the board is erased.
- Q. Did you write on the board that the drugs had field tested negative?
- A. No. I just wrote what I thought the proper charges were.
- Q. Could you go over again what information is typically included on the board?
- A. Case number, date, time, location, suspects that are in custody, evidence that was recovered and what the charges should be.

- O. What about the CI?
- A. We don't -- I mean, there's no need to list them on the board. That's -- the CI is their responsibility, is to the lead officer.
- Q. So the board is basically information that you're going to use to later fill out arrest paperwork?
- A. It's basically -- it's on a wall in a central location where everyone can see it so that, once the assignments are divvied out to each detective, they can refer to the board and conduct their business without having to go -- if I was the lead, they wouldn't have to come ask me a hundred questions and prevent me from doing my job.
- Q. Okay. Had there been other cases prior to this one involving Aspirin and Abdullah where you felt he should have charged the individuals with selling fake narcotics?
- A. No. This was the first time where I had handled the product at the end of the day and was confident that it was a counterfeit substance.
- Q. Well, earlier you just said that this had become a pattern.
 - A. As far as him being duped?
- 24 Q. Yeah.
- 25 A. Yeah, that's with hindsight.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

- Q. Tell me what you mean by that.
- A. We didn't have lab results at the time, knowing that these were counterfeit drugs.
 - Q. Did you have lab results on May 21st, 2020?
- A. May 21st, 2020, the -- you're talking about lab results for that day? No, we didn't have lab results for a while on it. That was strictly a field test.
 - Q. Okay. So what did you mean by "pattern"?
- A. Just felt that he was getting duped, as far as he was buying small amounts of a product and then he was going out and buying large amounts, and that just doesn't fit the pattern of behavior and how you conduct these investigations.
- Q. Did you tell Mr. Saacks that you had doubts about Aspirin's reliability?
- A. I believe so. I don't recall the actual conversation as far as like what the answer was.
- Q. Okay. Have you met with any member of the U.S. Attorney's Office as part of this investigation?
- A. No, sir.
 - Q. Who trained you in vice?
- 22 A. Detective Peirera.
 - Q. How do you spell Peirera? Just your best guess is okay.
- A. P-e-i-r-e-r-a, maybe. I'm not sure.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

- Q. Okay. And did Detective Peirera train you on how to work with informants?
- A. Yes, as far as guidance on working with informants. Yes.
- Q. What were some of the important things you learned during your time in vice about working with informants?
- A. It's a continual process of monitoring your informants for their reliability.
 - Q. Any other important things you learned?
- A. I mean, it's -- that's the best way I can sum it up, is it's a ongoing process. You have to closely watch and monitor your informants to make sure that they're reliable. If they're not reliable, then you have to get rid of them.
 - Q. You have to blacklist them?
- 17 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. So would you say the integrity of your CI is important?
 - A. Very.
- Q. And it's important, as you just said, for your CI to be reliable?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Would you ever apply for a warrant using an unreliable informant?

A. No, sir.

- Q. If you wrote in a warrant application that an informant had been unreliable in the past, do you think it would be granted?
 - A. Can you repeat that?
- Q. Sure. If you wrote in a warrant application to a magistrate or a judge that an informant had been unreliable in the past, do you believe that that warrant application would be granted?

MR. BLANCHARD: Objection.

MS. DIXON: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I do not believe, if you're putting that verbiage, that exact verbiage, in a search warrant affidavit, the magistrate would not sign it.

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. How do you determine if an informant is reliable?
- A. It's a ongoing process. You're monitoring their behavior, their demeanor, their actions, why you're using them, are they following instructions, are they being deceptive. Probably similar to raising children.
- Q. Okay. And so you said a lot of different things. Demeanor, instruction, deceptiveness. Give me some context for those. What situations are you in with the informant when you're monitoring those different

things?

A. Can I give them a set of instructions, deploy them in the field; can they follow those instructions and come back to me and provide -- provide what was agreed upon.

So if you were my informant and you said, "I know Mr. A and Mr. A is going to sell me a bag of marijuana," and I give you money and I send you to meet Mr. A, I would expect to watch the video to see you meet with Mr. A, exchange money for the marijuana, and then I expect you to bring the marijuana back to me.

- Q. Would it be concerning to you if you could not see that transaction on the video?
- A. In a singular incident, stuff happens where videos fail. Stuff happens, but if it's a pattern, then that's something that I would monitor.
- Q. But you're saying if it happened once, you may continue to still use that CI?
 - A. It's possible. It's a case-by-case situation.
- Q. Okay. But you're saying you would continue to monitor that situation?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Meaning that -- how would you monitor that?
- A. To see if -- you know, I would remind them that you don't manipulate the camera system, this is what we

expect you to do, this is what we expect to see, and check that performance during the next buy.

- Q. And by expect to see it, you mean actually expect to see a hand-to-hand transaction occur?
- A. That would be preferred, yes, just much like the body-worn cameras that we wear. I mean, the camera angle doesn't catch everything.
- Q. Okay. But that is your hope. That is the goal, is to actually see the exchange of --
 - A. Correct.
- Q. When you were in vice, did you ever use an informant who you believed was unreliable?

MR. PETTEY: Objection.

THE WITNESS: Anyone I believed was unreliable was blacklisted and never used again.

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. How does it -- that occur, someone getting blacklisted?
- A. It's the lead investigator -- or the assigned handler, it's their determination whether or not they should be blacklisted, and then you notify your chain of command and they're removed from the roles of active informants.
- Q. So what if Sergeant Rolfe told you, "Detective, you know, your informant has done X, Y and Z and I'm

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to blacklist him, " would he have the power to do that?

- A. I'm sure he would have -- as a supervisor over the detective, he would definitely have the right to have that discussion with the detective. I would think there would be some sort of collaborative conversation there to determine if there is a reliability issue and then, if so, then they need to make the determination on if the informant continues or if they're blacklisted.
- Q. Okay. But let's say you disagreed with Sergeant Rolfe and you said, "No"?
 - A. He outranks me and would have the final say.
- Q. Did you ever meet with an informant alone when you were in vice?
 - A. Meet with them alone? It happened.
 - Q. So that's yes?
- 17 A. Yes.
 - 0. And in what circumstances?
- 19 A. Just pulling up on a corner, talking to them.
 - Q. Okay. And would you say this is a common practice in vice, to meet with informants alone?
 - A. The goal was to have someone with you, but it wasn't always possible.
 - Q. Okay. So did other members of the vice unit meet with informants alone?

I can't speak to what other members did on --1 2 when I wasn't around them. Well, did they ever tell you that they met with 3 Q. 4 informants alone? Not that I recall. 5 Α. 6 What about paying a CI? Did you ever do that Q. 7 alone? 8 Α. I probably did. 9 So you're familiar with the CI payment sheet? Q. 10 Correct. Α. And that requires a witness to be present for CI 11 Q. 12 payment? 13 Α. Correct. 14 Q. Was it your practice to have the witness 15 physically present to observe the payment to the CI? 16 Typically, that was the goal, yes. 17 Okay. But you're saying, on some occasions, Q. 18 that did not happen? 19 Α. It's possible that they might be nearby, but not 20 like standing with you as you hand money off. 21 Ο. And they would still sign the sheet saying that 22 they witnessed it? 23 Α. Correct. 24 And you don't think that's perjury? Q.

MR. PETTEY: Objection.

THE WITNESS: Under those circumstances, no. 1 2 BY MR. SCHEWEL: Do you currently have an assigned RPD work 3 Q. 4 phone? 5 Α. I do. How did -- when did you obtain this phone? 6 7 My current phone? Whenever I broke the last Α. 8 one. I don't know. 9 Okay. Was your last phone taken as part of the 10 IA investigation? 11 Α. Yes. So it wasn't broken? 12 Ο. 13 I mean, I -- what I'm saying is I've broken Α. 14 multiple phones throughout my career. The first time I was ever issued a phone is when I made detective in 2015, 15 16 and I've had a couple phones due to either IA taking it 17 for the investigation or I've dropped and broken a phone. 18 Okay. I'm just asking about your last phone, so Q. 19 the most recent --20 Oh, okay. Internal Affairs has that phone. Α. 21 Ο. Okay. Have you seen any of your old text 22 messages from that phone? 23 I saw a short list of messages from -- I believe 24 it was May 21st. 25 Okay. Other than that short list of text Q.

- 1 messages, have you seen anything else from your old 2 phone? No, sir. 3 Α. 4 Ο. Who was Officer Abdullah's training officer at the vice team? 5 Α. Detective Nance. 6 7 Did you ever train Officer Abdullah? Ο. 8 Α. I did not. 9 Did you outrank Officer Abdullah? Q. 10 Α. No. Were you more experienced than Officer Abdullah? 11 Ο. 12 Α. Yes. Did you have any responsibility, being more 13 Q. 14
 - experienced than him, in the vice unit?

Objection. MR. PETTEY:

THE WITNESS: We were equals on paper.

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- I understand on paper that you were equals. Q. just wondering if there was any sort of informal thing in the vice unit where you have a responsibility to help other team members that you're more experienced than.
- I mean, I would act as a mentor to those that would accept it.
 - Did Abdullah accept it? Ο.
- Α. No, sir.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ο.

Α.

1 Ο. Why? 2 He was just a lone wolf. Α. 3 Q. What do you mean by that? 4 He operates on his own and -- I mean, the Α. shortest way to describe it is just he's a -- I mean, he 5 operates by himself. The only time we were around him 6 7 was just out of pure necessity because he would tell us 8 he needed help with manpower. 9 Q. Was he difficult to work with? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Ο. In what ways? Just because he didn't communicate with us. 12 Α. 13 So when Abdullah was preparing to make a Q. 14 controlled buy, how would he communicate that to the vice 15 team? 16 Α. Typically, through text message. 17 And would this be a group text? Q. 18 Α. Correct. 19 Q. And after he communicated that he was going to 20 make a controlled buy, what would occur next? 21 Α. Typically, the team members that were available 22 would meet at whatever location we're supposed to be at 23 and assist him in whatever duties he gave us.

If Abdullah was calling for our help, Abdullah

Who would choose the location?

would choose the location.

- Q. Okay. And when you met as a team at the location, what would occur?
- A. Typically, it was just a short, very brief meeting of, "This is what I got going on, this is what we're going to try to accomplish." And the -- if it was like a buy walk, then our goal would be to just be overall security. We would try to be eyeballs where we could. I mean, some neighborhoods you just can't get into. You sit a block or two away and your primary goal is, if something were to happen to the informant, then your obligation is to go in and rescue them.
- Q. Okay. What about if it was a takedown?
- A. If it was a takedown, typically we would be supplemented by a tactical team, and we would basically go in and secure the scene and the people involved and then start identifying people, collecting evidence.
 - O. And the tactical team is the SEU unit?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. So at this meeting, would you typically discuss the type of drugs being purchased?
 - A. Typically, yes.
- Q. The amount of drugs?
- A. It's possible.
- 25 Q. The CI being used?

- A. We wouldn't always know the CI used, but that's typical. You would want your team members to know who the informant is so that, if you see them out and about, you know that they're on our team.
 - Q. And you recognize them?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And so after the meeting, you said that you would try and get eyeballs on the transaction?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And what do you mean by that?
- A. Just being able to say that you saw them walk to where they were supposed to be at, you saw who they meet with. Sometimes you don't know who these people are.

So one example might be, is if you're the drug dealer and I'm the informant, I walk up and meet with you and then they would see you walk away. They might have an officer approach you and identify you under the guise of a suspicious person encounter, maybe, but a detective would need to see you during the transaction and then watch you walk to that location and watch another officer walk up and approach you so that we know that we've identified the right person.

- Q. Okay. Why is it important to see them walk to the right location?
 - A. It's important for when you're conducting search

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

warrants, making sure you have the right location.

- Q. Is it also important to monitor the credibility of your informant, that they're going where you instruct them to?
- A. Yes. That's part of the ongoing observation of their reliability.
 - Q. Would Aspirin ever ride in your vehicle?
 - A. I think on a few occasions he did.
- Q. Did you typically monitor the controlled buy on the 10-21 app?
 - A. When I could, yes.
 - Q. What do you mean, when you could?
- A. Sometimes you'd get kicked out of the system or you'd lose -- depending on where we were, you'd get electronic interference. There's locations where the cellular service is horrendous.
- Q. So why is it important to monitor the controlled buy on the 10-21 app?
 - A. To make sure that -- if I -- if I'm assisting Abdullah, it's just to make sure everything's going down safely because that's my job, is safety. Abdullah's job is to monitor his informant, his informant's performance.
 - Q. And so part of your monitoring is to look at the 10-21 app and make sure Aspirin is not being attacked?
- 25 A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

- Did you ever observe a hand-to-hand transaction Ο. occur on the 10-21 app when using Aspirin as a CI? Α. I don't recall. Ο. So your answer is maybe?
 - Α. It's possible, yeah. I've witnessed so many transactions on -- or operations on that app, I can't speak to specific ones.
 - Okay. So you don't remember if you saw one when using Aspirin?
 - I don't recall. Α.
 - When monitoring Aspirin, was the screen on the Ο. 10-21 app typically obscure?
- 13 With that particular informant, it did happen Α. 14 multiple times, yes.
- 15 Do you -- strike that. Ο.
 - Do you recall ever observing Aspirin with the 10-21 app not observed -- not obscured?
- 18 Α. I don't recall.
- Did you ever visually observe a hand-to-hand 20 transaction occur when using Aspirin?
- 21 Specific with Aspirin, I don't recall. Α.
- 22 To your knowledge, did Aspirin ever complete a 23 legitimate controlled buy?
- 24 Α. I believe he did.
- 25 Q. When?

When he was first being used under the 1 Α. supervision of Detective Nance and Detective Abdullah. 2 Okay. What do you recall about that incident? 3 Q. 4 Α. I believe he purchased some pills from a 5 suspect. Were you present for that? 6 Q. 7 I would have been there as an -- as an assist; Α. 8 not as a lead investigator, but strictly there as an 9 assist. 10 Ο. Okay. And what do you recall from that incident? 11 Not much. I just recall -- plus, the benefit of 12 Α. 13 hindsight -- knowing that his early buys were legitimate. 14 Q. Well, I think you said once earlier. MR. PETTEY: Objection. 15 16 MS. DIXON: Same objection. 17 THE WITNESS: It's my belief that there was more 18 than one, but it was early in his time as an informant. 19 BY MR. SCHEWEL: 20 Okay. I'm going to direct you to Exhibit 6 in Q. 21 your binder, please. Do you recognize this document? 22 I believe this is a transcription of my 23 interview with SBI. 24 (Exhibit 6 was identified for the record.)

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. Okay. Were you honest with the SBI?
- 2 A. Yes.

- Q. Were you truthful to them?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. I'm going to turn to page 3 and the one, two, third full paragraph. You state -- or the -- I should say the SBI agent interviewing you writes down, "Out of all the controlled purchases that Aspirin completed," comma, "only one buy was good." Do you remember stating that to the SBI agent?
 - A. As far as that specific statement, I don't recall it. I mean, if he transcribed it, I -- I know from reviewing the statement there are some errors in his statement about our conversation --
 - Q. Is your testimony that that's an error?

 MR. PETTEY: Let him finish. Are you done with your answer?

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- 19 Q. Go ahead.
 - A. At that time, you know, it may have been my belief that the pill buy was the one good buy. In hindsight and knowing now some additional information, it's my belief that additional controlled buys were good, but I may have not been present for them.
 - Q. Okay. What additional information have you

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

- learned that leads you to believe that earlier buys were
 good buys?
 - A. I do not recall the source, but I believe there was a buy of cocaine or something that was a good buy that I was not present for.
 - Q. How did you learn this information?
 - A. I don't recall. Probably just reviewing different cases and stuff.
 - Q. Okay. And was that reviewing in preparation for your deposition today or --
 - A. No, it's just --
 - Q. -- some other time?
 - A. -- just in the past.
 - Q. Why would you be reviewing Abdullah's old cases?
 - A. Why would I be reviewing them? I guess curiosity, trying to see -- identify what went wrong, you know, trying to figure out what happened.
 - Q. When did you start doing this?
 - A. Shortly after the informant was terminated.
- 20 Q. And you did this on your own?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And so your testimony is that you actually went back through Abdullah's old cases, starting at the beginning?
 - A. There was a list that had been provided to me of

his cases, and I went through them to review the cases to see if -- A, what had occurred and, B, if we were even getting real drugs.

- Q. Who provided you with that list?
- A. That list was provided to me by Sergeant Rolfe.
- Q. That list was heroin cases, right?
- A. I don't recall the -- I'd have to look at the list.
- Q. Okay. But at some point, you're stating you went back and you observed paperwork that led you to believe that he also made a legitimate cocaine buy?
- A. Reviewing paperwork, I believe my opinion was based on just conversations with people.
 - Q. Okay. So your testimony, just so I'm clear, is that Aspirin completed two legitimate controlled buys; one is this cocaine buy and the other is a pill buy?
 - A. The pill buy would be the one in my statement here because I was present for that. It's my belief that a good cocaine buy had occurred, but I don't -- I can't speak to the facts of it because I do not recall being there.
 - Q. Okay. And you don't know who told you that?
 - A. I don't.
 - Q. And you don't know when you were told that?
- A. I mean, so much time has passed, I don't recall

1 | the conversation.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. So that was not actually based on any information you reviewed. That was based on a conversation with someone?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Any other legitimate controlled buys, to your knowledge?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. In your interview with Internal Affairs, you stated that the handling of Aspirin by Abdullah amounted to gross negligence. What about Abdullah's handling of Aspirin amounted to gross negligence?
- A. As far as -- I mean, it was just my opinion. He just didn't monitor him properly and see the red flags and realize earlier on that he was being duped.
 - Q. Why did he not monitor him properly?
- 17 A. I don't know.
 - Q. How did he not monitor him properly?
 - A. Because this all happened.
 - Q. I understand this all happened. I guess I should rephrase my question.

You stated that the gross negligence was -- was your statement or reaction to Abdullah not monitoring him properly. I want to know what led you to believe that Abdullah had not monitored him properly.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Because if he had monitored him properly, Abdullah would have identified the behavior of the informant and taken action.
 - Q. Okay. What were the red flags you identified?
- A. Manipulating the camera systems, not following instructions, being delayed on giving a signal for us to come in resulting in suspects getting away.
 - Q. Do you think he was doing that intentionally?
 - A. With hindsight, yes.
 - Q. Why?
- A. To -- I think to make these -- I guess to make these cases. I don't -- I don't know his motivations. I don't know him.
- Q. So you think maybe to cover up for the fact that these were actually marijuana dealers?
 - A. With hindsight, correct.
- Q. And so they wouldn't actually be taken down and the weed wouldn't be found on them?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Do you recall Abdullah stating to Aspirin that he could make more money for heroin buys?
 - A. That statement was an assumption on my part, and then the SBI agent transcribed it in his report as a factual statement.
 - Q. What was your assumption?

- A. My assumption was that the CI had probably complained to Abdullah about not making enough money, and the typical response is bigger cases, bigger pay.

 Q. So you're saying you didn't actually hear that conversation occur?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Why did you make that assumption?
- A. Because he went to heroin cases from buying pills and cocaine.
- Q. Okay. And you thought his motivation for that was that he learned he could make more money from heroin cases?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. As a vice officer, have you ever told your CI to go and get heroin cases or different types of drugs to make more money?
- A. I've told them, like, if you make bigger cases, you make bigger money.
 - Q. Okay. And do you ever encourage them to do that?
 - A. I don't think "encouragement" would be the right word. It's just a factual statement of -- you know, if you were to go risk your life and go buy two stolen guns and a brick of heroin for me, that's worth more compensation than going and buying a bag of marijuana off

the street corner for me.

- Q. And as a vice officer, do you benefit in any way if your informants make bigger buys?
- A. No. I could sit at my desk and do nothing and get the same pay.
 - Q. Would you get the same review?
- A. Honestly, yeah.
 - Q. Why do you say that?
- A. Because our review system is -- I mean, pretty much, we just show up to work and you're acceptable.
- Q. And so you don't think Sergeant Rolfe would have changed what he wrote in your performance evaluation?
- A. I can't speak to what his review of me would have been.
- Q. Well, you just said that, honestly, yeah, you think it would have been the same.
- A. That's my opinion, but as far as what he would put, maybe he dings me, maybe he doesn't. I don't know. I'm not him.
- Q. Okay. But why do you think that someone who is making buys or has their CIs making buys would get the same review as someone who's just sitting at their desk doing nothing?
- A. I mean, there's -- I guess what I'm trying to portray here is there's no incentive -- there's no

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

monetary incentive or anything for performance.

- Q. Okay. I understand that, but I'm asking about your statement that you just made about the reviews.
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And you said that you could sit at your desk and do noting and you would still get the same review.
- A. As far as the overall review, yeah. There's -it's literally, like, acceptable --
 - Q. Well, part of your -- sorry to interrupt.
- A. You're fine.
 - Q. Do you have anything more to add?
- 12 A. Huh-uh.
 - Q. Part of your review process does state this officer made -- you know, had a goal of making a certain amount of buys per quarter.
 - A. No. Quantitatively, there's no goal for -- tied to your review.
 - Q. You've never seen that in your personnel file?
 - A. We're talking about, like -- you're talking about like quotas, right?
 - Q. If you want to call it a quota, you can call it a quota. I'm saying, in your performance review, have you ever seen anything stating the goals for this office or for this quarter were to make a certain amount of controlled buys?

- A. Quantitatively, no. I mean, you'd want some level of performance to sit there and say, like, "You're doing your job." I'm just making a blanket statement of I can do nothing and still be employed and make the same money.
- Q. Okay. So you've never seen that in a performance evaluation?
 - A. A quantitative amount?
 - Q. Yeah, an amount.
- A. I don't recall ever seeing a quantitative amount. Are you saying, like -- for me, an example, like Detective Rattelade needs to go out and make ten arrests this quarter?
- Q. Yeah, or that that was a goal for Detective

 Rattelade to make a certain amount of controlled buys in
 this quarter.
- A. I don't recall seeing it. I mean, honestly, the review -- it's all electronic. You sign off on it and keep moving.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. So if it's in there, I didn't see it.
- Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that you really began to notice Aspirin's deficiencies when he started purchasing heroin?
- MR. PETTEY: Objection.

THE WITNESS: My opinion is the deficiencies -they're kind of always lingering, plus -- but it was
exacerbated when Detective Nance left the unit.

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. Okay. So when did Detective Nance leave the unit?
- A. I want to say either 20- -- 2018, 2019, maybe. I don't recall the exact time.
 - Q. But it was --
 - A. Prior to the heroin buys.
- Q. Okay. So you did start to notice deficiencies prior to the heroin buys?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. What specific deficiencies or red flags did you notice in the handling of Aspirin? And I know that you've already stated manipulating the camera, not following instructions, delaying the signal. Any other ones?
 - MR. PETTEY: Objection.
 - MR. BLANCHARD: Objection. Abe, I think you asked about Abdullah's deficiencies first, not -- did I catch that wrong? I thought the last question was Abdullah, not Aspirin, but --
- MR. SCHEWEL: Okay. Well, I can back up then and make sure that's clear.

1 BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. The question was Aspirin. It was --
- A. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. -- you started to notice -- and I believe you stated that you started to notice Aspirin's deficiencies --
- A. Or I misunderstood that. I thought you were saying Abdullah's deficiencies.
 - Q. Okay. So tell me what you mean by that.
- A. Just his -- once Detective Nance left the unit,
 Abdullah's deficiencies were more obvious because

 Detective Nance wasn't there to carry him.
- Q. Okay. So when did you start to notice Aspirin's deficiencies?
 - A. Aspirin's deficiencies?
- 16 Q. Yes.
 - A. I mean, it would have been during the -- the heroin buys is when we had our most -- I guess our most encounters with him. I was present -- I do recall I was present when he was first arrested for selling counterfeit drugs.
 - I was there as a security officer, but I didn't handle him. I didn't work him. He's not my responsibility. I have my own cases and informants to work. So over time, during his heroin buys, you know, it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

became our opinion that he was being played by the suspects.

- Q. So were you present for any of the pre-heroin buys?
- A. There was a -- I believe a pill takedown that I was present for. If I was present for anything else, I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall observing the 10-21 app during the pill takedown?
- A. Specifically for that day, I don't recall anything.
- Q. So I'll go back to my question. What are the specific deficiencies or red flags you noticed in the handling of Aspirin?

MR. PETTEY: Objection.

THE WITNESS: In the handling? So are you talking about Abdullah's performance or Aspirin's performance?

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. Let's start with Aspirin's performance.
- A. The same.
- Q. Okay.
- A. Obscuring the camera, not following instructions, delayed signals.
 - Q. What about the packaging of the alleged heroin?

For the last day where I conducted the field 1 2 test, that was a red flag for me, yes. Okay. I'm going to ask you to go to Exhibit 8 3 Q. 4 in your binder, please. MR. SCHEWEL: What time is it? 5 MR. BLANCHARD: 11:18. 6 7 MR. SCHEWEL: Okay. So I'll try and stop in 8 five minutes. Is that okay? 9 MS. DIXON: Oh, no. 11:35, 11:40 will be fine. 10 MR. SCHEWEL: Okay. Just give me a heads-up. 11 MS. DIXON: Okay. BY MR. SCHEWEL: 12 13 Okay. So do you recognize this exhibit? Q. 14 Α. It looks like a transcription of my interview with Internal Affairs. 15 (Exhibit 8 was identified for the record.) 16 17 BY MR. SCHEWEL: 18 Did you review this before your deposition Q. 19 today? 20 Α. I glazed over it. 21 Okay. I'm going to ask you to turn to page 7. 22 Okay. I'm going to just read at the bottom of page 7 23 where it starts as A, for answer. 24 "Ah, yeah. It's, a, I mean, you're either gonna 25 get it in those wax paper bindles. Um, every now and

| 1 | then there could be an anomaly like it might be in a |
|----|---|
| 2 | folded up piece of paper. Ah, but it's typically gonna |
| 3 | be in a bindle or in a plastic baggie. But I've never, |
| 4 | not until year, I've never seen it in a vacuum sealed |
| 5 | bag." |
| 6 | Question. "And that's what you saw this year, |
| 7 | is a vacuum sealed bag?" I'm going to page 8. |
| 8 | "Ah, yes, involving one particular informant. |
| 9 | He's the only informant I've ever seen return supposed |
| 10 | heroin in a vacuum sealed bag." |
| 11 | "And that incidents, are you talking about |
| 12 | Aspirin?" |
| 13 | "Yes, sir." |
| 14 | "Okay. So other than Aspirin, you've never seen |
| 15 | anybody return heroin in a vacuum sealed bag?" |
| 16 | "No, sir." |
| 17 | A. And that was the packaging for May 21st. |
| 18 | Q. Okay. So when he said "incidents," you were |
| 19 | referring to a single incident? |
| 20 | A. Correct. |
| 21 | Q. Even though he said plural? |
| 22 | A. I mean, this was a phone conversation. Maybe it |
| 23 | was transcribed incorrectly. I don't know, but this was |
| 24 | a singular incident. |
| | |

Q. Your understanding was that you had only

observed actual vacuum-sealed heroin from Aspirin on one occasion?

- A. That was May 21st, 2020.
- O. Okay. And that's -- that's the truth?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. How about going from an initial buy walk where Aspirin purchased a small amount of alleged heroin to then purchasing a trafficking amount on the next occasion?
- A. It's uncommon. Not saying it couldn't happen, but -- you know, almost like a unicorn. It started happening back to back.
 - Q. Okay. Why would that be unusual?
- A. Just to -- I mean, most of these dealers know if you hit 4 grams or more, you're looking at mandatory minimum sentencing, so if you were to order 4 grams, you're going to -- these drug dealers are always shorting you. It's all about maximizing profits and, I guess, minimizing liability, would be the correct word, if they're apprehended.

So you have to order higher amounts to get over the 4-gram threshold for the trafficking offenses. You know, if an informant's a player, he might get a tester, a small amount, and then go up higher, but my personal opinion is that Aspirin was not a player.

- O. What's a tester?
 - A. A small amount to check for purity or quality.
- Q. Okay. When you were in the vice unit, was it unusual to make multiple heroin trafficking buys with the same CI?
- A. It would be a case-by-case basis. With this particular informant, I had my suspicions.
 - Q. Okay. What were those suspicions?
- A. That it was just unusual that he was able to get access to so many drug dealers that were willing to sell him trafficking amounts. It was just -- it just didn't add up, but he kept doing it and I'm like, all right, maybe he's a unicorn.
 - Q. Was it because they were street-level purchases?
- A. Can you define "street-level"?
- Q. Okay. So I'm going to ask you to go back to Exhibit 8, which we just looked at. This is your Internal Affairs interview on November 10th, 2020 and I'm going to ask you to turn to page 5. And I'm going to direct you to the middle question, and I'll read this out loud.

"Okay. And how difficult would it be to make multiple trafficking buys of heroin with the same CI over a few months time?"

Answer, "Ah, if he's, as far as if he's using

the same, if he's buying and walking the same target and they're not getting busted, if he's built that relationship with the suspect, I mean, he can just keep buying and buying and buying, and then obviously when the suspect is arrested, ah, they're not gonna have access to that target anymore cause they immediately become suspicious of anyone that has sold to them. Ah, especially if the CI is there during the takedown. And that basically, immediately burns our CI for future use.

"Ah, if you're buying, if you're using that CI to buy from multiple suspects doing street level rips with the takedown over and over and over again, it's just, I, for me personally, I don't see how that's possible."

- A. In that context, yes, that's correct.
- Q. Can you describe that?
- A. If you're in the same neighborhoods doing buy busts where you're making a buy and we're jumping out and making an arrest and there's a pattern of that particular person being present during those takedowns, these individuals in these criminal enterprises talk to each other and they would be -- they'd figure out pretty quick that this informant is the one setting them up, so he could not accomplish, you know, buying over and over and over again from the same -- he can't fish in the same

pond and keep catching fish.

- Q. In your time on vice, had anyone ever purchased close to 20 grams of heroin on a controlled buy?
 - A. I'm sure it had happened.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you to turn to page 7 of the same document that we've been looking at, which is your Internal Affairs interview. It's Exhibit 8.
 - A. What page?
 - Q. Page 7.
 - A. Page 7.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to direct you to the middle. The question is, "Has anybody confiscated 15 grams or approached 20 grams of heroin from a CI buy?"
- Answer, "Not on my squad. That would be something from, um, typically, that's a joint investigation with the federal agents involving Career Criminal and Criminal Enterprise."
- A. So, basically, that would be like if our guys were operating with the feds, then that could happen. We don't have the -- we don't have the money to conduct these buys without federal assistance.
 - Q. Okay. But without the feds, it hadn't happened?
- A. Correct.
- Q. So Aspirin was the first time that anyone, to your knowledge, on your team had purchased or recovered

20 grams or close to 20 grams of heroin without the feds 1 2 involved? Α. Correct, because the -- I believe it was 3 4 somewhere in my statement in here that the price was off. 5 Q. But just to be clear, the only time that had happened, to your knowledge, is with Aspirin? 6 7 Α. Up to that point in my career, yes. 8 Okay. Are you stating that, after Aspirin, you 9 were part of a controlled buy with the vice unit where 10 the feds were not involved where you recovered close to

- that amount of heroin?

 A. I don't believe it was heroin. I believe they
 - Q. Okay. But not heroin?

were methamphetamine purchases.

A. Correct.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. If someone in the Raleigh area was selling this amount of heroin, would they likely be on the radar of the career criminal unit or another RPD task force?
- A. Could they be? Yes. Would they be? There's no telling because the market is so saturated with drug dealers.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to direct you to the same Exhibit 8, page 10, at the very bottom.
- Question, "And it sounds like some of the larger amount of heroin sellers are known by, like, our career

criminal units and some of the task force that you guys have access to find that information out."

Answer, "Yes, sir. Yes, sir. It's very unlikely that anyone is going to be a large-scale heroin dealer in Raleigh and they're not either known or popping up on the radar."

Is that a accurate statement?

- A. I guess it depends on how you're quantifying what large-scale is, but I would say that's an accurate statement.
 - Q. Okay. Well, you said it, right?
- A. Yeah. I mean, to me, like our task force guys and our career criminal unit, they're going after kilos. Twenty grams is a long way from a kilo. It's 980 grams away from a kilo.
- Q. Okay. So you're stating that 20 grams wouldn't necessarily be on their radar?
- A. It could be. What I'm saying is it's a big transaction, but it is not a high-level transaction.
 - Q. Okay. So you're saying it's possible?
- A. For street-level, I'm saying it's a big -- it's a big deal for a street-level drug transaction, but not for a federal task force.
- MR. SCHEWEL: Okay. I think now is a good time to take a break.

(Brief recess from 11:29 a.m. to 11:49 a.m.) 1 2 BY MR. SCHEWEL: Okay. I'm going to ask you about an operation 3 Q. 4 that occurred on December 11th, 2019, when -- one of the first where Abdullah claimed that Aspirin purchased 5 heroin. This eventually resulted in warrants being taken 6 7 out for two individuals, Blake Banks and Messiah Howard. 8 Do you recall this incident? 9 I believe -- is that the one off Rock Quarry Road somewhere? 10 11 Ο. Yeah. It occurred near a Sweepstakes on Rock 12 Ouarry. 13 Α. Yeah. I recall parts of it, yes. 14 Q. What do you recall? I remember the buy bust signal being delayed. 15 16 The suspect vehicle came at me and another officer head 17 on, almost hit me. Made a U-turn to go after the vehicle 18 to try to get the license plate. 19 He swerved at another police car head on, which 20 almost caused both of us to hit a telephone pole. 21 vehicle left the scene at a high rate of speed going 22 south on Rock Quarry Road. That's pretty much what I 23 remember. 24 Q. Do you recall that the buy money was never

25

located?

We didn't locate anything. The car was gone. 1 Α. 2 So that's an accurate statement? Ο. Yes. 3 Α. 4 Q. And you recall this occurred near the 5 Sweepstakes? Α. Correct. 6 7 Do you recall that other controlled buys made by 0. 8 Aspirin occurred near the Sweepstakes? I don't recall that particular sweepstakes. I 9 10 remember he did buys at the Food Lion on Poole Road a few 11 times. 12 Ο. Okay. Okay. 13 MR. SCHEWEL: I'm going to play what is marked 14 as Exhibit 10. Norwood, if you don't mind. 15 MR. BLANCHARD: Yeah. You ready? 16 MR. SCHEWEL: Yeah. You can go ahead and hit 17 it. 18 (Video recording played.) 19 BY MR. SCHEWEL: 20 Q. And I'll pause it. I'm pausing it at 12 21 seconds, for the record. This is a body camera that was 22 disclosed to us by the City of Raleigh that is actually 23 marked -- or was initially marked related to Crystal 24 Williams, but for here it's Exhibit 10. 25 (Exhibit 10 was identified for the record.)

| 1 | MS. KIBLER: Crystal Williams? |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. SCHEWEL: Am I getting her name wrong? |
| 3 | Crystal Hamlin, yes. Thanks, Dottie. |
| 4 | (Video recording played.) |
| 5 | BY MR. SCHEWEL: |
| 6 | Q. Do you recognize the voice of the officer? |
| 7 | A. That's Sergeant McDonald. |
| 8 | Q. And was Sergeant McDonald in the car with you |
| 9 | when you swerved? |
| 10 | A. I was by myself. |
| 11 | Q. I thought you said that you were you and |
| 12 | another officer swerved. |
| 13 | A. Like, separate vehicles. |
| 14 | Q. Was that Sergeant McDonald's vehicle? |
| 15 | A. I don't know. It was a unmarked Tahoe. |
| 16 | Q. So would that have been a vice officer or an SEU |
| 17 | officer? |
| 18 | A. That would have been a SEU officer. |
| 19 | (Video recording played.) |
| 20 | BY MR. SCHEWEL: |
| 21 | Q. And sorry. I'm playing I paused at 47 |
| 22 | seconds and I'm playing again. |
| 23 | (Video recording played.) |
| 24 | BY MR. SCHEWEL: |
| 25 | Q. Okay. I'm pausing at 2:13. Do you know where |
| | |

you were while this was occurring? 1 2 Probably returning back from trying to get that vehicle. 3 4 Q. Okay. And do you know why they were holding the woodline? 5 A. I believe there was someone that left on foot. 6 7 And prior to this operation, do you remember 0. 8 meeting with Abdullah and the vice unit? 9 I don't remember the specific meeting for this night, no. 10 11 0. Okay. Do you recall whether Aspirin was seeking 12 to purchase heroin? 13 I mean, any knowledge of him purchasing heroin Α. 14 is now going to be with hindsight. Okay. So with hindsight, do you recall that on 15 16 this occasion Aspirin was purchase -- trying to purchase 17 heroin? 18 Α. I believe so, yes. 19 Okay. I'm going to fast-forward to -- sorry, 20 it's not showing me, so --21 (Video recording played.)

MR. SCHEWEL: Sorry. I'm going to try and go one more.

(Video recording played.)

22

23

24

25

MR. SCHEWEL: And I'm playing again at 8:09.

| 1 | | (Video recording played.) |
|----|----------|--|
| 2 | | BY MR. SCHEWEL: |
| 3 | Q. | Okay. I'm pausing at 8:29. Do you recognize |
| 4 | the offi | cer who just walked into the screen? |
| 5 | Α. | There's a little bit of a glare. I'm pretty |
| 6 | sure it' | s Abdullah. |
| 7 | Q. | Okay. And did you hear them say anything about |
| 8 | an indiv | idual named Swayson? |
| 9 | Α. | It was kind of muffled. I didn't hear it. |
| 10 | Q. | Do you want me |
| 11 | Α. | If you could rewind it. |
| 12 | Q. | Sure. I'm going to rewind it and I'm going to |
| 13 | play aga | in at 8:17. |
| 14 | | (Video recording played.) |
| 15 | | BY MR. SCHEWEL: |
| 16 | Q. | Okay, I'm pausing at 9:01. As far as you know, |
| 17 | was an i | ndividual named Maurice ever charged? |
| 18 | Α. | I don't know if a Maurice was charged. |
| 19 | Q. | Okay. |
| 20 | | (Video recording played.) |
| 21 | | MR. SCHEWEL: I'm playing again at 11:26. |
| 22 | | (Video recording played.) |
| 23 | | BY MR. SCHEWEL: |
| 24 | Q. | Okay. Did you hear what Sergeant McDonald just |
| 25 | stated? | |
| | | |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- He was asked -- it sounded like he was asking Abdullah where the money was or who had it, and it sounded like the response was "the middle man." Ο.
- Okay. And did you hear him say something about maybe the middle man threw the money?
 - Α. Yes.
 - And so we need to have a track done? Ο.
- Α. Correct.
- What's a track? Q.
 - A canine track, use of a dog to try to locate a Α. path that a person may have fled on.
 - Does watching this video refresh your Ο. recollection at all of any of that occurring?
 - I mean, I recall some of the events that night because I did return to that cul-de-sac after losing the vehicle. I don't remember -- I believe all this encounter was prior to my returning. I remember a neighbor being on the porch screaming profanities at us after I got there.

I believe there was a female detained and something about a dog. Other than that, I don't remember much more.

Ο. Okay. I paused it at 11:53 and again -- playing again at 13:19.

(Video recording played.)

| 1 | BY MR. SCHEWEL: |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Okay, I'm pausing at 13:48. Who did you see in |
| 3 | that video? |
| 4 | A. Detective Abdullah to the left. |
| 5 | Q. Do you recognize any of the other officers? |
| 6 | A. Straight ahead in the regular traditional |
| 7 | uniform is Officer Beausoleil, and to the right wearing a |
| 8 | helmet is, I believe, Officer Twiddy. |
| 9 | COURT REPORTER: Who is the one before Twiddy? |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: Officer Beausoleil, I believe. |
| 11 | It's like B-e-a-u-s-o something. |
| 12 | BY MR. SCHEWEL: |
| 13 | Q. And did you hear what Abdullah said? |
| 14 | A. The money left in the car. |
| 15 | Q. Okay. Did you hear him say anything about the |
| 16 | CI? |
| 17 | A. I think he said the CI told him that the money |
| 18 | left in the car, unless I misheard it. |
| 19 | Q. In the Charger? |
| 20 | A. In the Charger. |
| 21 | Q. Do you want to listen to it again? |
| 22 | A. Sure. |
| 23 | Q. Okay. So I paused it at 13:48. I'm going to go |
| 24 | back a few seconds. This is 13:29. |
| 25 | (Video recording played.) |

| 1 | BY MR. SCHEWEL: |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Okay. So did you hear Abdullah say, "Text me |
| 3 | the tag number"? |
| 4 | A. Correct. |
| 5 | Q. Do you know who he was talking to there? |
| 6 | A. I'm assuming the informant. |
| 7 | Q. So was he talking to him on his cell phone? |
| 8 | A. It appeared that he was on his cell phone |
| 9 | talking to the informant. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. And the informant texted him the tag |
| 11 | number? |
| 12 | A. I don't know. |
| 13 | Q. Do you know if the tag number ever came back to |
| 14 | match Banks or Howard? |
| 15 | A. I don't remember ever being provided with the |
| 16 | tag number. I just remember making an effort to go after |
| 17 | the vehicle and losing it. |
| 18 | Q. Okay. I'm going to this is at 14:05 that I |
| 19 | paused it. I'm going to go again to 15:38. |
| 20 | (Video recording played.) |
| 21 | BY MR. SCHEWEL: |
| 22 | Q. Okay. I paused it at 17:01. Are you aware that |
| 23 | the heroin attributed to Banks and Howard tested negative |
| 24 | by the CCBI lab? |

A. Hindsight, yes, I was aware that it tested

negative.

- Q. Are you aware that it had tested negative as early as December 19th, 2020?
 - A. I am not aware of --

MS. KIBLER: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: I am not aware of a date that it was tested. I just know that the lab results that I checked for each case came back negative.

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. How do you look up CCBI lab results, or how did you do it at the time?
- A. At that time, they were dumped into a internal server called PolShare and you had to have access via a city device to go in there and look at a specific folder to find your file.
 - Q. A city device?
- A. Like a city computer. You couldn't log in with your -- like your personal cell phone or personal computer.
 - Q. Would it have to be an RPD computer?
- A. For an RP -- I mean, if it was issued to us, it would be a RPD computer, so it would be like your -- the way you look it up is -- if it was me and I was running my case, I would be at my desk. I would log into PolShare. I would go into the subfolder for the lab

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

results and find my lab results or see that they're not there, which means they just weren't ready.

- Q. Okay. So you stated in an interview with

 Internal Affairs that you taught Abdullah how to look up

 CCBI results.
- A. I don't know if "taught" is the right term. I would say that he approached me with a question of how -- he -- it was very evident he couldn't do it and I had to show him how to do it.
 - Q. Okay. And what happened?
 - A. I guess he went in and did it.
- Q. Well -- sorry. Go ahead.
 - A. The -- I think my statement to Internal Affairs was that it was just troubling that he was this far into his career with us and didn't know how to look up lab results.
 - Q. Okay. But you showed him how to do it?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. What happened -- describe that.
 - A. The same thing. I would have told him, "You need to go to this server, look for these files and go look for your case number."
 - Q. Okay. Did you go and look for his case number?
- 24 A. I mean, I coached him and he did it.
 - Q. Okay. Did you observe him do that?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- It would have been -- where my desk is here (indicating), he would have been sitting two chairs over, distance-wise, and I was just coaching him, not --Q. Okay. I'm not over his shoulder, like assisting him. But he could look at your computer screen and Q. see what you were doing to see what to do? I mean, I'm not -- I'm just literally verbally giving him cues, like, "This is how you do it." Okay. And that was prior to May 21, 2020? Ο. Α. Correct. Did he tell you that he looked up the results? 0. I don't believe so. I think I literally -- so Α. we didn't communicate with each other very much. Like, it was kind of a big deal for him to ask me for help because we just didn't get along. Did you learn that the results were negative? Q. Α. After all this started snowballing, yes. So your testimony is that you did not learn that Q. the heroin had tested negative from CCBI until after
 - A. Correct.

May 21, 2020?

- MR. SCHEWEL: Norwood, you can hit PC if you want.
- MR. BLANCHARD: PC?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

24

1 MR. SCHEWEL: Yeah.
2 BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. When conducting a controlled buy, what type of video equipment would you use when you were on the vice unit?
- A. We had a few options. The only live-streaming option we had would have been a cell phone, that 10-21 app, which was a live-stream audio/video via the cellular phone, and then we had a couple fixed options, as far as a body-worn -- a covert body-worn camera or a -- there was other fixed-mounted cameras in, like, concealed and conspicuous devices.
- Q. And after a controlled buy, was it your practice to review your buy videos?
 - A. For my cases, yes.
- Q. And what would that -- what videos would you actually review?
 - A. Whatever devices I deployed.
 - Q. Okay. So --
- A. Commonly for me, the most commonly used systems would have been the phone and the body-worn camera.
 - Q. Okay. So the 10-21 app video?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And then some type of body-worn camera?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

- And that would either be the hat or the button? 1 Ο. 2 Α. Correct. Okay. And the hat is actually physically 3 Q. 4 installed into the hat? 5 Α. Yes. Did you have multiple hats? 6 Q. 7 Α. We had one hat. What kind of hat was it? 8 Q. Baseball hat. 9 Α. 10 Was it a Yankees hat? 0. 11 I believe so. It got retired because it broke Α. so frequently. 12 13 Did Sergeant Rolfe ever review your buy videos? 0. 14 Α. Not to my knowledge. 15 So earlier you testified that you field tested Ο. the alleged narcotics after the VanIrvin arrest. 16 17 Α. Yes, sir. 18 And you did that because you could tell from a 0. 19 visual inspection that it was not heroin? 20 Yes, sir. Α. 21 And you also did that because you knew that 22 Abdullah would not trust you if you just told him that
 - MS. DIXON: Objection to form.

the product was not heroin?

I'd say that --

Α.

23

24

| 1 | THE WITNESS: I'd say that's a fair assessment. |
|----|--|
| 2 | BY MR. SCHEWEL: |
| 3 | Q. Why did you know that Abdullah would not trust |
| 4 | you? |
| 5 | A. I mean, I don't know if the word "I know" would |
| 6 | be factual. We just didn't have a good working |
| 7 | relationship and he didn't we just didn't collaborate. |
| 8 | Q. Okay. And had you told him things in the past |
| 9 | where he had not trusted you? |
| 10 | A. I'm sure I've tried to mentor him before and |
| 11 | just kind of get sloughed off, so if if you don't want |
| 12 | my help or my advice, eventually I'm going to stop |
| 13 | providing it. |
| 14 | Q. Okay. And was this particular to Aspirin or was |
| 15 | this in general? |
| 16 | A. I'd have to speculate it was in general. |
| 17 | Q. Did he ever accuse you of picking on Aspirin? |
| 18 | A. Not to my face. |
| 19 | Q. Okay. So he may have done that behind your |
| 20 | back? |
| 21 | A. Probably. |
| 22 | Q. And you heard that from someone? |
| 23 | A. I can't say that I remember hearing it. |
| 24 | Q. And was it particular to you or was it everybody |
| 25 | in the vice unit who was picking on Aspirin? |
| | |

- A. I think it was everybody. He was kind of a -- like I said before, he was a lone wolf and it was kind of everyone versus him kind of thing.
- Q. Now, of the people in the vice unit, who would you say gave him the most feedback related to Aspirin?
- A. Initially, it would have been Detective Nance because they worked closely together. After that, I would assume nobody was giving him feedback if he was not -- like, if he's not accepting feedback, then you just stop giving feedback.
- Q. Okay. So after Nance left, you never gave any feedback to Abdullah about Aspirin?
- A. I'm sure I probably made a passing comment or something, but I can't speak to specifics.
- Q. Okay. So even if you noticed errors in what he was doing or his monitoring of Aspirin, you didn't say anything at all?
 - A. I would have said something to the supervisor.
- Q. Okay. So you would have said something to Sergeant Rolfe?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Or you did say something to Sergeant Rolfe?
- A. Yes. I mean, over the years, I commented on his performance.
 - Q. Over the years?

- A. The time that Abdullah was with our unit. It was just general comments about his aptitude as far as being a drug detective.

 Q. And what did you think about his aptitude or what did you say to Sergeant Rolfe about his aptitude?

 A. Just it was my personal opinion that he had sloppy work and was in over his head.

 Q. And you conveyed that to Sergeant Rolfe?

 A. Over time, yes.
 - Q. What do you mean, over time?
 - A. Just complaining to him about performance issues and, you know, not being a team player, not doing things, I guess, the way we normally do it. I mean, it would just be general gripes and complaints to the supervisor.
 - Q. Well, what was he doing in ways that you don't normally do?
 - A. I mean, if we're going to -- talking about this Aspirin, you know, the pattern of repetitively doing these small buys and then going up to a bigger buy with a takedown and then doing it back to back.
 - Q. That wasn't normal?
 - A. I'm not saying it couldn't happen, but it wasn't normal.
 - Q. And you raised that to Sergeant Rolfe?
 - A. At some point, I would have complained, yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

- Q. I'm not asking what you would have done. I'm asking if you did.
 - A. I'm sure I did, yes.
 - Q. Did you ever raise concerns to Sergeant Rolfe or other supervisors about Abdullah's monitoring of other confidential informants?
- A. I don't believe so.
 - Q. Okay. So it was solely concerns about his monitoring of Aspirin?
- A. As far as CI handling, the only time I've ever made any comments to supervisors was concerning Aspirin, yes.
 - Q. Okay. Are you familiar with SEU Officer Twiddy?
 - A. Very.
 - Q. Very?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. You worked with him --
- 18 A. Many years.
 - Q. Okay. So Officer Twiddy testified at his deposition that he thought that you and other members of the vice team may have been jealous of Abdullah and Aspirin and that's why you were critical.
 - MS. KIBLER: Objection.
- 24 MS. DIXON: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: Can you reread that to me?

| 1 | | BY MR. SCHEWEL: |
|----|-----------|--|
| 2 | Q. | Sure. Officer Twiddy testified at his |
| 3 | depositio | on that he thought that you and other members of |
| 4 | the vice | team may have been jealous of Abdullah and |
| 5 | Aspirin a | and that that's why you were critical of them. |
| 6 | | MS. PACKER: Objection. |
| 7 | | MR. PETTEY: Objection. |
| 8 | | THE WITNESS: I would say no, I was not jealous |
| 9 | at any po | oint in time. |
| LO | | BY MR. SCHEWEL: |
| L1 | Q. | Okay. Were you ever jealous of Abdullah's |
| L2 | success? | |
| L3 | Α. | No. I've never been jealous of Detective |
| L4 | Abdullah | in my life. |
| L5 | Q. | Were you jealous of Abdullah's success with |
| L6 | Aspirin? | |
| L7 | А. | No. |
| L8 | Q. | Well, why do you think Officer Twiddy would have |
| L9 | said that | z ? |
| 20 | | MR. PETTEY: Objection. |
| 21 | | MS. PACKER: Objection. |
| 22 | | MS. DIXON: Objection. |
| 23 | | THE WITNESS: I have no idea. |
| 24 | | BY MR. SCHEWEL: |
| 25 | 0. | Do you think that's a fair critique? |

No.

A.

- Q. So earlier you discussed different concerns that you had raised to Sergeant Rolfe, and one of them that you mentioned was from going from small to large amount of buys. Do you -- did you raise concerns to Sergeant Rolfe about Aspirin covering his buy video?
- A. I'm sure that was one of my complaints, specifically a daytime conversation. I couldn't speak to it, but that would have been one of my complaints, was his performance and manipulating the camera.
- Q. Okay. So I'm not asking for an exact date or time. I just want to know, did you raise that issue to Sergeant Rolfe?
 - A. I would have to say that I did.
- Q. Did you raise the issue of Aspirin and Abdullah's targets having a weed history and not a heroin history?
- A. At that time, no, because I didn't know anything about these guys. They were -- Abdullah was conducting his own investigations, I was conducting mine. All that was after the fact, knowing about their history.
- Q. Did you raise concerns that the targets after takedowns often had marijuana on them?
- A. At the time, no, because it's common for people to have multiple drugs.

- Q. Did you raise concerns about the targets never having heroin on them?
 - A. I don't believe so.
 - O. Would that have been common?
- A. I mean, if they're -- if they show up just to sell -- if I were to call you and ask you to bring me a bag of heroin, you, as a drug dealer, would know I can't -- this stuff is really bad to get caught with, and you would just bring what I asked for and sell it to me and then be done with it.
 - Q. So you're saying it's possible?
- A. It's possible that they would have more heroin on them, but I would say it's unlikely.
 - Q. Okay. So you think it's actually more likely that a drug dealer would show up for heroin with no additional heroin on them, only the exact amount they're seeking to sell?
 - A. I'd say it could go either way.
- Q. Okay. Did you ever tell Abdullah that he should not be using Aspirin as a CI?
 - A. I really don't know if I ever told him that.
 - Q. Did you ever tell Sergeant Rolfe that Aspirin should not be used as a CI?
- A. That -- making that specific statement to Sergeant Rolfe, I don't recall making it.

| 1 | Q. Okay. So you raised a number of concerns |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | Q about Aspirin about Aspirin's work? |
| 4 | A. Yes. |
| 5 | Q. And did you raise concerns about Aspirin's |
| 6 | reliability? |
| 7 | A. I believe it all kind of is one big package. |
| 8 | Like if I'm if I'm grieving to my supervisor about the |
| 9 | performance, I think the reliability kind of is a package |
| 10 | deal. |
| 11 | Q. When you expressed these concerns to Sergeant |
| 12 | Rolfe strike that. |
| 13 | At the time you expressed these concerns to |
| 14 | Sergeant Rolfe, would you have blacklisted Aspirin? |
| 15 | MR. PETTEY: Objection. |
| 16 | MR. BLANCHARD: Objection. |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: I'd say that I didn't have enough |
| 18 | information to make that determination because I wasn't |
| 19 | handling him. I was would have been making just vague |
| 20 | complaints about the performance. |
| 21 | BY MR. SCHEWEL: |
| 22 | Q. Okay. So even though you had all these concerns |
| 23 | about Aspirin, you still would not have blacklisted him? |
| 24 | MR. PETTEY: Objection. |
| 25 | MR. BLANCHARD: Objection. |

THE WITNESS: I would have monitored him further if I was handling him. Because I was not handling him, I didn't have enough information to say that, yes or no, I would blacklist him.

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

Q. And what about if you were Abdullah's supervisor?

A. If I was his -- I wasn't his supervisor.

Q. Well, you're a supervisor now, right?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Okay. So if you were Abdullah's supervisor in this situation, would you have blacklisted Aspirin?

MR. BLANCHARD: Objection.

MS. DIXON: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I would have, you know, looked further to determine if we need to.

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. How would you have done that?
- A. A review of past performance and a review of ongoing performance, having -- having a discussion with Abdullah about the performance.
- Q. And what would that review of performance entail?
- A. I mean, I haven't been a supervisor of that unit. I can't speculate to what -- how Sergeant Rolfe

would or would not have handled it.

- Q. Would you have reviewed the buy videos?
- A. Potentially, yes.
- Q. When you expressed these concerns to Sergeant Rolfe, did he act on that information?
 - A. I have no idea.
- Q. Well, after you expressed your concerns, did Aspirin continue to make heroin buys?

MR. BLANCHARD: Objection.

THE WITNESS: Without knowing the exact dates of complaining to the supervisor, I'd be hard-pressed to say if the buys continued on, but it was a continuation of just kind of complaining to him about the performance as far as -- I guess the easiest way -- so the entire time, we thought the CI was being duped, like people were -- he thought he was buying heroin and he wasn't.

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. So did it concern you that Abdullah was charging these individuals with trafficking heroin?
- A. At the -- leading up to May, we didn't know that -- what was going on. We didn't know that -- that these people were being improperly charged. May 21st was the first time.
- Q. Well, you just testified that it was a concern the entire time that he was being duped.

| 1 | A. With |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. PETTEY: Objection. |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: With hindsight. The any |
| 4 | complaints beforehand would have strictly just been on |
| 5 | just general performance. At no time did we ever know |
| 6 | what was going on until after May 21st. |
| 7 | BY MR. SCHEWEL: |
| 8 | Q. Okay. So I'll ask you again. After you |
| 9 | expressed your concerns to Rolfe, did Aspirin continue to |
| LO | make heroin buys? |
| L1 | A. I guess what what if we're talking about |
| L2 | my general complaints about Aspirin, then yes, he would |
| L3 | have made more buys. |
| L4 | Q. Okay. And that includes the items that we |
| L5 | previously discussed? |
| L6 | MS. KIBLER: Objection. |
| L7 | THE WITNESS: Which items? You're talking about |
| L8 | as far as the camera manipulation |
| L9 | BY MR. SCHEWEL: |
| 20 | Q. Yes. |
| 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | Q. Going from small amounts to large amounts? |
| 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you to turn to Exhibit 5 |

in your binder, please. And have you seen this exhibit

before?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And so this is your IA interview on October 20th, 2021.

(Exhibit 5 was identified for the record.)

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. I'm going to ask you to turn to page 15, please.
- A. Okay.
- Q. And I'm going to go to the very bottom, 535, and the question is, "Okay. Did you ever inform Sergeant Rolfe --" well, sorry. Strike that.

I'm going to go to 528 and start with your -- with the answer. Well, I'll go to 525 so we have the question.

Question, "Okay. And, um, I think you kind of alluded to it, that you -- did you suspect this of Detective Abdullah's CI, Aspirin?"

Answer. "Uh, yeah, I was pretty suspicious of him, uh, once he -- the fact that he was arrested under the circumstances that he was arrested, for selling fake drugs, should've been a red flag for close monitoring. I wasn't involved in directly handling him during any cases, uh, and I don't recall."

"Some of his early cases I think might've been legit, but as soon as he started conducting heroin

| 1 | purchases, uh, the red flags went up really quick." |
|----|---|
| 2 | Question, "Okay. Did you inform Sergeant Rolfe |
| 3 | while Aspirin was still being used of your suspicions?" |
| 4 | Answer, "Yes, sir." |
| 5 | Page 16. "And, uh, what did Sergeant Rolfe do |
| 6 | with that information?" |
| 7 | Answer, "I'm not sure what he did with that |
| 8 | information." |
| 9 | Question, "Okay. So you're not sure what he did |
| LO | with the information. Did it ever seem that he did |
| L1 | anything with the information?" |
| L2 | Answer, "Uh, not really, 'cause, uh, the |
| L3 | purchases continued occurring." |
| L4 | Were those accurate and truthful statements? |
| L5 | A. Yes, sir. |
| L6 | Q. Do you believe that Aspirin was sorry, strike |
| L7 | that. |
| L8 | Do you believe that Abdullah was supervised the |
| L9 | same as the rest of the vice unit? |
| 20 | A. No, sir. |
| 21 | Q. Why not? |
| 22 | A. Due to race and religion and the culture within |
| 23 | the department at that time. |
| 24 | Q. Okay. What do you mean by that? |
| 25 | A. Certain officers were known to have the chief |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

at that time was very fond of them. Abdullah was one of them and, culturally, as a supervisor, it would have been terrifying to supervise Abdullah out of fear of retaliation from supervisors or being transferred out if he was to file a complaint.

- Q. How do you know that the chief was fond of Abdullah?
 - A. I've personally witnessed it.
 - Q. What did you witness?
- A. We used to have yearly award ceremonies where -let's say there's a particularly top ten officers that
 served the most warrants or got the most DWIs. They
 would come to this event and be given a document, like an
 award, basically saying, "Hey, you did really good last
 year."

Abdullah -- both of us were commonly at these award ceremonies receiving awards, but she noticeably always gushed over Abdullah. I remember, in 2018, we had a employee appreciation dinner and the chief came to our table, ignored all of us and just gushed all over Abdullah that night.

- O. And what was the chief's name?
- A. Deck-Brown.
 - Q. And you said you also received awards?
- 25 A. Correct.

25

Α.

Q.

Uh-huh.

What awards did you receive? 1 Ο. 2 Gun seizures, DWIs, traffic stops, and some of them are repeat awards over several years. It was when I 3 4 was a patrol officer. 5 Q. Did you receive these awards yearly? 6 Up until the awards stopped being issued. Α. I'm 7 pretty sure I was there every year getting an award. 8 Q. When did the awards stop being issued? 9 Α. Prior to 2015. The exact date, I don't know. 10 I'm sorry if I heard you wrong, but I thought Ο. 11 you said in 2018 there was an awards ceremony. 12 Α. That was an employee appreciation dinner. 13 Okay. Did the employee appreciation dinner take Ο. 14 place of the awards ceremony? 15 A separate event. It was during police 16 appreciation day or whatever. I think it's in January. 17 Q. Okay. 18 The city caters a dinner. Α. 19 Q. Do you know why the awards ceremony ended? 20 Have no idea. Α. 21 Okay. So you were sitting at an employee 22 appreciation dinner and you saw the chief come over to 23 your table --

-- and gush over Abdullah?

A. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Did you hear what they said?
- A. Only thing I remember is she was asking him if he was happy with the alternative meal that she was able to coordinate for him.
- Q. Okay. You said it would have been terrifying to supervise Abdullah.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And I believe you said that because of the fear of retaliation.
- A. Yes, sir.
 - O. Why would Rolfe have feared retaliation?
- A. Because Abdullah had a reputation for -- as someone who would complain -- strictly reputation. I can't give examples. His mentor within the division in a different unit recently had a conflict with a supervisor, and that supervisor was kicked out of the unit and sent back to patrol.
 - Q. Abdullah's mentor?
- A. Someone who I would say is a mentor. That's strictly my personal opinion.
 - O. Who is that?
- A. He's retired now. He would have been Senior

 Officer Brian Lindsay on the fugitive unit.
- Q. Okay. And Officer Lindsay had a conflict with

someone else? 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

19

21

22

- That's my understanding. Α.
- And what happened? Q.
- The rumor was that the officer was borderline Α. insubordinate, not checking in with his supervisor because he was on a federal task force, and when that officer -- when that supervisor tried to supervise him, that supervisor was transferred out.
- Q. Was Officer Lindsay black?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 Was he Muslim? Ο.
- 12 Α. No.
 - Were you aware of any instances where Officer Q. Abdullah, himself, had complained to supervisors?
- 15 Was I personally aware? Α.
- 16 Q. Or had you heard?
- 17 Α. I'd heard rumors that he had filed complaints 18 against officers during his time in patrol.
- Q. Did Sergeant Rolfe ever express any of these 20 concerns to you?
 - I don't believe so. Α.
 - So when you went to Sergeant Rolfe with these complaints, what would he say?
- 24 Α. "It'll work itself out."
- 25 Did he ever tell you that he was going to speak Q.

to the lieutenant or the captain?

- A. He said that he would speak to command and seek a transfer.
 - Q. How many times did he say that?
- A. Multiple times. Transfers only occurred a few times a year, and the list would always come and go and he would still be with us.
 - Q. How did that make you feel?
- A. Just -- I mean, just another day. I mean, I wasn't shocked. I was disappointed. I've seen other people come and go during short stints with our unit.

 You have to, you know -- you have to be sharp to succeed in a drug world, and I think Abdullah just -- it wasn't a good fit for him. He needed to be on another unit.
 - Q. Was that demoralizing?
- A. I wouldn't call it demoralizing. It just -- it is what it is.
- Q. When you went on operations with Abdullah, did you trust him?
- A. I guess depends on what we're trusting him on. I didn't think he was going to put a gun to the back of my head and shoot me, but I didn't trust him to -- you know, as far as like he's going to be a good observer or anything like that.
 - I mean, it wasn't anything like -- I guess I'm

trying to figure out where you're kind of going with this question, like --

- Q. Well, did you trust he was going to conduct good operations?
- A. I thought he was sloppy, and that's why I didn't trust him with certain tasks.
- Q. Did you feel like you needed to double-check him?
- A. If he was directly involved in anything in my case, I double-checked it.
 - Q. What about if you were involved in his cases?
- A. I gave him the same effort I gave everyone else.

 I was -- I'm a very detail-oriented person and that -due to that, I mean, that's why people would usually ask
 me to help them with documenting stuff like their
 evidence or processing their evidence, because they know
 that I wouldn't mess it up.
- Q. And when you saw him being sloppy or him messing up, how would you react?
 - A. I'd just cut him out of the equation.
- Q. So you would just go and do the evidence yourself?
 - A. Or have someone else do it.
- Q. But your testimony is that you didn't feel that, until May 21, 2020, that people were actually being

wrongfully charged because of this?

- A. The day after that incident is where Detective Monroe and I figured it out, or we thought we had figured it out, went to the bosses.
- Q. So you're saying all the other heroin buys -- what did you think was happening with those?
- A. We just thought that it didn't add up, but we never saw the lab results, so for all we knew, they were good buys.
 - Q. Did you ever seen the heroin?
- A. Very rarely. I mean, on a buy walk, the CI is going to go out, come back to Abdullah, give him the stuff, and we're never going to see it.
 - Q. What about if you're in the car?
- A. Honestly, we probably wouldn't see it and we just didn't have that close of a working relationship where I cared to be around him.
- Q. So your testimony is that you never actually saw the heroin other than after May 21, 2020?

MR. PETTEY: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I mean, I don't recall what I did or didn't see on specific dates. I can testify that on May 21st, 2020, I saw a suspicious package that wasn't heroin. I tested it, conveyed my thoughts to Detective Abdullah.

BY MR. SCHEWEL: 1 2 Okay. How was Aspirin recruited as a CI? Q. Substantial assistance CI after he was arrested. 3 Α. 4 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry? 5 THE WITNESS: He was a substantial assistance informant after he was arrested during a buy bust. 6 7 BY MR. SCHEWEL: And you were present for that? 8 Q. 9 I was there as a security officer, yes. Α. 10 What does it mean to be a security officer? Ο. Strictly there for -- in case something happens, 11 Α. 12 to go in there and rescue the informant or to make the 13 scene safe. 14 Q. And then afterwards, because it's possible that you have other responsibilities? 15 16 Α. Yes. I could assist with searching, recovering 17 evidence, processing evidence. 18 What was Aspirin selling when he was arrested? 0. 19 Α. I believe it was thought to be oxycodone or some sort of narcotic pill, but I believe it was crushed-up 20 over-the-counter medication, hence his name. 21 22 So he was caught selling fake narcotics? Ο. 23 Α. Yes, sir, and he was charged with selling fake 24 narcotics. 25 Q. Who decided what to charge him with?

- A. It would have been Nance or Abdullah. It was their case.

 Q. Who nicknamed Aspirin?
 - A. I don't know.
 - Q. It wasn't you?
 - A. It was not me.
 - Q. Did you ever raise your concerns with Aspirin to the district attorney's office?
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

- Q. And I'm -- just to be clear, I'm not talking about speaking with David Saacks. I'm talking about prior to that.
- A. After the May 21st incident, that's where we went to the DA's office with our suspicions.
 - Q. Who's "we"?
 - A. Me and Detective Monroe.
- Q. Why did you go over Sergeant Rolfe's head?
- 18 A. I guess we felt like nothing was being done.
 - Q. And which district attorneys did you contact?
- 20 A. I believe it was Jason Smith and David Coleman.
 - Q. How did you contact them?
- A. I'm sure we probably called them and said, "We need to come talk to you."
- Q. Did you have an in-person meeting?
- 25 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Who was present?
 - A. I don't remember. I just remember going to the DA's -- we went to the DA's office and had a conversation with them.
 - Q. Was a defendant who had been arrested present?
 - A. Not on the initial complaint. We did arrange for a debrief with one of the defendants to try to iron out the truth, and that was attended by Detective Monroe.
 - Q. Okay. So these meetings actually occurred twice?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. On the first meeting, it was you, Officer Monroe, and Coleman and Smith?
 - A. If both of them were in the meeting, I don't recall. I just know we went to the DA's office and expressed our concerns over what we had found.
- Q. Did you express concerns about any prior cases?
 - A. I think it's kind of a lumped-in assumption that if the CI is doing this on this date, that we need to go back and look at everything.
 - Q. So is that a yes?
 - A. I guess we'd call it a yes.
- Q. Well, I don't want you to just call it a yes.

 I'm asking you if -- if you expressed concerns about

 other controlled buys, other than May 21, 2020.

- A. The specifics of the conversation with the DAs, I can't speak to exact words, but I can assume that part of that -- expressing that concern is that we need to take a look at all of this.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall being present for the arrest of Curtis Logan?
 - A. Where was he arrested at?
- Q. At the Sheetz. He had two minor children in the car.
- A. I believe I was there.
 - Q. What do you recall about the incident?
- A. Not much. I think he was parked directly in front of the store's entrance. Some sort of transaction occurred, a buy bust signal was given. We moved in, took him into custody.
- I think there was two kids in the back. I want to say it was a pickup truck, maybe. Took two -- or took him into custody. Two children -- I believe mom or some family member came and picked them up.
- Q. After the arrest, did vice team 1 debrief at Green Dairy?
- A. Did we debrief? I don't -- if we did, I don't remember it.
 - Q. Did you return to Green Dairy?
- 25 A. I would assume so, yes.

- Q. Do you recall that Officer Abdullah claimed that Curtis Logan had sold 20 grams of heroin to Aspirin for \$400?
- A. I remember there being a conflict with amounts and value. I don't remember if it was that specific incident, but it does ring a bell.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you to turn to Exhibit 6 in your binder, please. I think I showed you this earlier today, but do you recognize this as your interview with the SBI?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And I'm going to ask you to turn to page 4 and I'll go down to the third full paragraph. Well, actually, I'll start at the second paragraph.

"After the second or third controlled purchase that resulted in counterfeit drugs, Rattelade advised that he would have been speculative of Aspirin's behavior. Additionally, Aspirin did not fit the part of being a wholesaler of heroin.

"On January 2nd, 2020, Aspirin purchased 20.8 grams of heroin for \$400 when the going rate for 20 grams of heroin was approximately \$2,000."

Does that refresh your recollection?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Does that appear accurate?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. "The previous drugs also tested negative in a field test for heroin. On that date, Monroe told Abdullah that the field test came back negative for heroin and Abdullah later charged the drug target with trafficking charges." Does that appear accurate?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Were you suspicious that Mr. Logan sold -- allegedly sold 20 grams of heroin to Mr. Williams for \$400?
- A. With the hindsight of knowing the amounts and the values, that's -- that is unusual to me, yes.
- Q. So you're saying at the time, you didn't know that?
- A. I don't know what I knew at the time. I mean, usually, with Abdullah, it was I would just show up and do my role. I had no parts in planning his operations. I had no parts in helping him with the investigations. I was strictly there as a -- you know, basically armed security guard is the best term, I guess.
- Q. Well, you testified earlier that you had a meeting before each controlled operation.
 - A. Correct. There was safety briefings.
- Q. Okay. And at those safety briefings, you testified that, typically, you learned the type of drug

you were going after?

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. You've got to say "yes." Sorry.
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. The amount?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And so that's why my question is, you know, did it make you suspicious when you learned that he claimed Mr. Logan had sold 20 grams for \$400?
- A. Those protocols being typical in a safety briefing before an operation, yes, those are typical. Did Omar tell us that day the amounts? I don't know. If he had told me those amounts, I'd have been like, "Something doesn't sound right." Not to say it couldn't happen. I've seen discounted drugs before, but it would be -- if I had that knowledge prior, it would be a little suspicious to me, yes.
- Q. So you're saying you don't recall if you had that knowledge or not?
- A. Correct. Most of this information that I gave to the Internal Affairs and SBI was with hindsight, doing a deep dive and evaluation of what had happened.
- Q. Okay. So how about Monroe field testing the alleged heroin? Do you recall that happening?
- 25 A. I recall documenting it in my timeline of events

that I put together. As far as the actual field test, I mean, I know it happened. I just don't recall the specifics about it.

- Q. How did you learn that a field test had occurred?
- A. Probably from discussing everything with Monroe while compiling the timeline of events.
- Q. Okay. So your testimony is that you weren't -you didn't know after this happened -- you didn't know on
 January 2nd?
- A. It is possible, I know, but with this much time passing, I just can't speak today to say that I know for a fact that I witnessed or observed something that particular day.
- Q. Would it be a surprise to you if Officer Monroe testified that you were present when he field tested the heroin?
 - A. It wouldn't surprise me at all.
- Q. Do you recall being suspicious after the arrest of Curtis Logan?
 - A. I mean, at this particular day, this particular event, I don't recall my feelings. I mean, all my feelings now are going back over the totality of everything and having hindsight knowledge.
 - Q. So just to be clear, you don't recall if, at

this time after this arrest, you were suspicious of Aspirin?

- A. Specifically on this particular day, I don't recall my feelings. Looking back at what we know now, I can look at that and go like, "Yeah, something's not right there."
- Q. Okay. But at the time, you don't recall whether or not you had suspicions --
 - A. Correct.
- Q. -- at that point? Were you ever present for a takedown involving Aspirin and Abdullah where heroin was located on the person seized?
 - A. I don't believe so.
- Q. How about where heroin was located at their home or apartment?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. And I think you testified to this earlier, but was it your belief that Mr. Williams or Aspirin was purchasing marijuana and not actually purchasing heroin?
 - A. With hindsight knowledge, that is my belief.
- Q. Okay. And how do you believe that the fake heroin was produced?
- A. I think he was concealing it, probably -- if I had to guess, somewhere probably in his butt crack or up under his nuts, and that's how it was being missed on a

search. And I think he was planting it by handing it off to investigators after the buy.

- Q. Would it be difficult to do that with 20 grams of fake heroin?
 - A. No.
 - Q. It would be easy?
- 7 A. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

22

- Q. How?
- A. I mean, the package was only maybe 2 inches by 2 inches. Stuff it in your underwear, stuff it in your butt crack. I've seen over an ounce of cocaine in a butt crack before.
- Q. So you've seen over 28 grams come out of someone's butt?
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. Okay. What about someone's underwear?
- 17 A. Even more.
- 18 Q. How much have you seen?
- A. Probably an ounce, two ounces. I've seen rifles stuffed down pants that you couldn't see until you started grabbing onto their pants.
 - Q. And does heroin weigh more than other substances?
- 24 A. Are you talking about volume?
- 25 Q. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

- A. I'd say it's fairly comparable to cocaine.

 Q. Okay. What about the crack?

 A. Crack -- it depends on the quality of the
 - A. Crack -- it depends on the quality of the coke. Crack can either be very dense and have a smaller amount that weighs more or it can be very -- if they cook it too hot too fast, it's full of air pockets and it has a much lower weight.
 - Q. Okay. So what about the marijuana? What do you think Aspirin did after he purchased this marijuana?
 - A. My personal opinion is he just threw it away.
 - Q. You think he threw it away?
 - A. Yeah. Probably -- I mean, depending on the amounts, he probably would have just gone back for it, but marijuana is very -- has a very pungent odor, so he would be foolish to bring it back to his handler with him.
 - Q. Unless the handler was letting him keep it?
 - A. I can't --
 - MS. DIXON: Objection to the commentary.
- MR. SCHEWEL: It's not a commentary. It's a question.
 - THE WITNESS: I mean, I have no idea what was going on with him and Abdullah if I wasn't present.
- 24 BY MR. SCHEWEL:
- Q. I'm going to ask you to turn to Exhibit 6 in

your binder, which is your SBI interview, and I see you're there, so will you please turn to page 5? I'm going to read the third full paragraph.

"Rattelade thinks that Abdullah believes that he is great at what he does and that other people are jealous of him and his CI. Rattelade does not believe that Abdullah is a bad person, but would not be surprised if he had a relationship with Aspirin."

What did you mean by "a relationship with Aspirin"?

- A. I mean, I really don't remember the context of this -- that particular part of the interview. I guess that they -- maybe if they conversed more than, you know, off hours or something. I don't remember the context.
- Q. Okay. So as you sit here today, do you think it's possible that Abdullah had a relationship with Aspirin?
 - A. My personal feeling?
 - Q. Your personal feeling.
- A. I think that it was a professional relationship and I think that Abdullah was exploited. His weaknesses were exploited by a rogue CI and the CI took advantage of Abdullah.
- Q. So what did you mean if he had a relationship with Aspirin or that you would not be surprised if he had

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 | a relationship with Aspirin?

MR. PETTEY: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I just -- I -- I honestly don't remember the context of that response or even the question that was asked that day.

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. So you're surprised that that's written down?
- A. I mean, I'm not surprised it's written down. I just don't recall that particular moment in the conversation or in the interview.
- Q. But your testimony, as you sit here today, is that you don't think that there was any relationship between Abdullah and Aspirin?

MR. PETTEY: Objection.

MR. BLANCHARD: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I do not believe there was a nefarious relationship on Abdullah's part. I personally believe that he was -- he had weaknesses that were exploited by the informant.

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. Would Abdullah let Aspirin sit in his car?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. How often did you see that?
- A. It's hard to say. I mean, multiple times, we'd be back at the office and the CI would be, like, sleeping

- or just sitting in the back of his car waiting on the -
 I guess to get paid and taken back home.
 - Q. Was that his personal car or his work car?
 - A. His work car.
 - Q. Would you ever let a CI sit or sleep in your car?
- 7 A. Me, personally? I wouldn't.
 - Q. Did you ever see any other vice officers do that?
- 10 A. No, sir.

4

5

6

8

9

11

- Q. Did Sergeant Rolfe know about that?
- 12 A. I have no idea.
- 13 Q. Did you tell him?
- 14 A. I don't believe so.
- 15 \parallel Q. Did you ever see Abdullah sleep in his car?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you know why he did that?
- A. I think it was due to the fact that he lived so
 far away and he moonlighted doing a lot of security
 work, so it made more sense to sleep in his car and then
 come to work versus driving home and back.
 - Q. Did you ever moonlight?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Where did you moonlight?
- 25 A. Multiple. During this time, I would have worked

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- for the construction company that's rebuilding U.S. 1 and 440 and the west side of town. N.C. State University, Walnut Creek Amphitheater/Live Nation, multiple jobs for the City of Raleigh at various venues, Wake County Public Schools. I get, usually, anywhere from 8 to 12 tax forms each year.
 - And why do you do that? Q.
 - Α. To make more money.
- Approximately how much extra money do you make Q. by doing that?
- In a given year, I probably make -- depending on Α. how much I work, probably 20,000.
 - Ο. \$20,000 more from moonlighting?
 - Α. Yeah.
 - Per job or just --Q.
- 16 Α. No, just cumulatively.
- Okay. And do you typically do those jobs at Q. 18 night or on weekends?
 - Α. I mean, it's in your off time. Most jobs are nighttime, but, you know, if you work a college football game, that's a daytime Saturday job. High school graduations is a weekday daytime job. High school sports is a weekday afternoon/evening job, so it's just -- it's all over the place. We have more jobs open than we can fill.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Who offers you these jobs?
- A. It's just in a database. You either join a pool of officers that work a specific location or you just log into a database, and there's just a list of jobs, people that want to hire off-duty officers. You click on it and take the job.
 - Q. Who provides you this database?
 - A. The city.
- Q. Is there any rule about how often you can moonlight?
- A. There's a limitation on, like, total hours worked in a pay cycle.
 - Q. Do you know what that is?
 - A. The exact number, no. I mean, it's up there and there's ways to offset numbers, such as, you know, if you take a day off, then that's however many hours that shift is, that's more time you could work.
 - Q. Do you think moonlighting affected Abdullah's performance?
 - A. I don't think so.
 - Q. Do you think it ever affects your performance?
- A. No.
- 23 Q. Does it affect your sleep?
- A. No. I sleep -- you know, you've got to get enough sleep so you can come to work and be safe.

There's no point in risking your jeopardy and getting killed over a few dollars.

- Q. So when you moonlight, can you give me an example what your typical moonlight schedule and work schedule would be?
- A. Let's say I work a day shift. It's 6 a. to 6 p., and then I'll go work a basketball game at Broughton from 6:30 to 9:30.
 - Q. And then you'll go home?
- A. Go to sleep.
- Q. Okay. Have you ever worked at bars?
- 12 A. When I was a younger officer, yes.
 - Q. And if you did that, obviously, you would -your shift would end later?
 - A. Correct. I was also able to rebound a lot easier in my 20s.
 - Q. Fair enough. Okay. So I'm just turning back to page 5 of the SBI interview and the paragraph that I read, and I'll read it again.

"Rattelade thinks that Abdullah believes he is great at what he does and that other people are jealous of him and his CI." What did you mean by that statement?

A. I mean, he genuinely thought he was a good detective and he was successful and that it was due to his relationship with his informant and he thought -- I

| 1 | genuinely believe that he thought we were jealous of him. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Did he express that to you? |
| 3 | A. No. |
| 4 | Q. So why would he think you were jealous? |
| 5 | A. Just that's his personality. |
| 6 | Q. But didn't you tell me earlier that you hadn't |
| 7 | ever even expressed your concerns to Abdullah? |
| 8 | MR. PETTEY: Objection. |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: I guess I'm missing the context of |
| 10 | the question. |
| 11 | BY MR. SCHEWEL: |
| 12 | Q. So earlier you testified that after Nance left, |
| 13 | you never really even spoke to Abdullah about Aspirin. |
| 14 | You didn't express your concerns about him. You |
| 15 | expressed it to Rolfe. |
| 16 | A. Uh-huh, |
| 17 | Q. So why would he think you were jealous if you |
| 18 | weren't expressing your concerns? |
| 19 | MR. PETTEY: Objection. |
| 20 | MR. BLANCHARD: Objection. |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: I'm sure that offhand comments |
| 22 | about the informant were made or information got back to |
| 23 | him that we were talking poorly about his informant. |
| 24 | BY MR. SCHEWEL: |

Q. Okay. So you think maybe, even if he

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

didn't -- if you didn't direct it to him specifically, he
heard you talking to other vice officers about it?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Because you were all sitting in that same room at Green Dairy together?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall specific instances where that occurred?
- A. Specifically, no, not talking about the informant in his presence or anything like that. Did it happen? I'm sure it did, but I don't recall any specifics.
- Q. Okay. So you -- but you're sure that, at times, you were all sitting in the room together talking about concerns about Aspirin?
- A. It could have happened. I don't remember specifically if it did.
 - Q. Well, did it happen or not?
- A. I don't know.
 - Q. So then why would you have been jealous?

 MR. PETTEY: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: I mean, that's just my personal opinion that he thought -- that he thought we were jealous.
- 25 BY MR. SCHEWEL:

1 Ο. Did he ever express that to you? 2 We very rarely spoke. Α. Did you hear that he ever expressed that to 3 Q. 4 anyone else? 5 Α. It's possible. I don't remember specifics. 6 Okay. One of the cases you mentioned having Q. 7 concerns about to Internal Affairs was the arrest of Sherrod Smith. Do you recall that? 8 9 Which exhibit are we on? 10 Okay. I can direct you to Exhibit 2. And I'm Ο. not sure if we've looked at this one. This is your 11 August 14th, 2020, interview with Internal Affairs. 12 (Exhibit 2 was identified for the record.) 13 BY MR. SCHEWEL: 14 15 Have you seen this document? Q. 16 Α. Yes, sir. 17 Okay. And I'm going to ask you to turn to Q. 18 page 25. 19 MR. PETTEY: It only goes to 23. 20 BY MR. SCHEWEL: 21 Ο. Do you have 25 on yours? 22 Α. I do. 23 MR. SCHEWEL: Okay. Sorry, Rod. Just look on 24 with him, if that's okay. 25 BY MR. SCHEWEL:

Q. Okay. So I'm going to direct you to the bottom. Well, if we go to the middle, it says, "And the CI you're talking about, what's the nickname?"

Answer, "His nickname is Aspirin."

Question, "Alright."

Answer, "Uh, let's see. It may not -- it may not have been Sherrod Smith. I know Sherrod Smith is definitely one of the questionable ones that we looked at. And, let's see (unintelligible). I'm not seeing, and obviously, with the current gag order, I wouldn't be able to discuss it with Monroe. I don't see it. There was a case that, I'm almost positive, I remember, oh, Curtis Logan."

Okay. So do you recall that Sherrod Smith was one of the cases you had concerns about?

- A. In context of this interview with Internal Affairs, it was because he was part of a list that I compiled after the fact of suspicious cases.
- Q. Okay. So you just -- your testimony is you don't recall being present for the takedown of Sherrod Smith?
- A. I don't recall specifically the Sherrod Smith case. I'd have to look at the case report and see if it were to jog my memory.
 - Q. Okay. Can you turn to Exhibit 7 in your binder,

25

Α.

Yes, sir.

| 1 | please? Okay. So this is the Felony Investigative |
|----|---|
| 2 | Report of Sherrod Anton Smith. |
| 3 | (Exhibit 7 was identified for the record.) |
| 4 | BY MR. SCHEWEL: |
| 5 | Q. Have you seen this document? |
| 6 | A. This is the first time |
| 7 | Q. Okay. |
| 8 | A I'm seeing it recently, I guess, would be |
| 9 | right. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. So do you see where the date is circled? |
| 11 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 12 | Q. And it says March 12th, 2020? |
| 13 | A. Correct. |
| 14 | Q. And I'm going to just so we go in order, I'm |
| 15 | going to direct you to page 3 of this document. Okay. |
| 16 | And this also says "Felony Investigative Report"? |
| 17 | A. Correct. |
| 18 | Q. And it says March 5th, 2020. |
| 19 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 20 | Q. And do you see where it's underlined .5 grams of |
| 21 | heroin? |
| 22 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 23 | Q. And so it says, "At that time, the CI purchased |
| | |

REED & ASSOCIATES

approximately .5 grams of heroin from the defendant."

Q. Okay. And now I'll have you go back -- if you want to -- why don't you go ahead and just read that full paragraph to make sure you -- to see if it refreshes your recollection at all.

A. "At the above date and time, a confidential and reliable source was provided with RPD buy money and went to the above location to purchase narcotics from the defendant. When the defendant arrived, he was the sole occupant in the vehicle that he was driving. At that time, the CI purchased approximately 0.5 grams of heroin from the defendant."

- Q. Okay. And this is, as we said, March 5th, 2020. Now I'm going to ask you to go back to page 1.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. So seven days later, March 12th, 2020, and I'll just read the detail to you.

"At the above date and time, a confidential and reliable source was provided with RPD buy money and went to the above location to purchase narcotics from the defendant. When the defendant arrived, he was the sole occupant in the vehicle that he was driving. At that time, the CI purchased approximately 8 grams of heroin from the defendant. The defendant was taken into custody and all the RPD buy money was recovered on the scene."

Do you recall why you had concerns about this

1 | case?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

21

- A. I'd say it would have to be just the jump from the half gram to 8 grams, looking at these two documents.
- Q. Do you recall Sherrod Smith stating during the takedown that he had sold marijuana?
- A. It's possible. The address listed on this FIR is the Food Lion on Poole Road. I don't remember if it was this particular case. I do remember one of the takedowns there, the subject had a gun and a bag of marijuana but no heroin.
 - Q. Okay. Could you turn to page 7 of this exhibit?
- A. We're looking at a tax form?
- Q. Yeah.
- A. Okay.
- 15 Q. Can you tell me what this is?
- 16 A. This is a BD-4. It's a tax form that we submit
 17 to the North Carolina Department of Revenue and they
 18 assess a tax on controlled substances.
 - Q. Okay. And who was that tax assessed to?
 - A. The defendant.
 - Q. And the tax is assessed based on the amount and type of drugs?
- A. Correct. It's a -- kind of like income tax.

 It's a preset amount, so based on what we submit on the
 form is the tax that they levy on the defendant.

- Q. Now, do you actually send the narcotics to the DOR?
 - A. The actual drugs, no.
 - Q. What happens to the narcotics?
 - A. They go into evidence until it's determined if they're going to be tested at the crime lab or not.
 - O. And what if the case is dismissed?
 - A. If the case is dismissed, it'll sit in evidence until the officer disposes of it during his yearly evidence purge.
 - Q. And that happens every year?
 - A. With exception to -- I think, during COVID, they skipped a year due to some issues. We're currently in this year's evidence purge right now. It's typically yearly, but sometimes a year gets missed.
 - Q. Now, at the bottom it says, "General Fund, 25%, RPD, Raleigh Police Department Fund, 75%." Can you tell me what that means?
 - A. It means that the State of North Carolina is going to keep 25 percent of the taxes. I guess that's an administrative fee. Seventy-five percent will come back to us and then ultimately go to the school system.
 - Q. Do you fill these forms out on each drug bust you make?
- A. Depends on the amount. There's a threshold

1 amount for each drug.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And what happens if a case is dismissed?
- A. As far as the case dismissed, I have no idea of what that protocol is.
 - Q. Well, does someone still get taxed?
- A. I'm assuming they get a tax letter and then I'm assuming that there is an appeals process.
- Q. So from your standpoint, when you were a vice officer, you would never seek to go in and alert DOR that the case had been dismissed?
 - A. For any of my cases?
- O. Right.
- A. I have never contacted DOR or notified them of any case dispositions.
 - Q. Okay. So you see section 4 says, "Amount of controlled substance by type," and it says, "Marijuana, 7.5 grams."
- A. Yes.
- Q. Earlier, you stated that you weren't sure or not, but does this refresh your recollection at all as to whether Sherrod Smith had marijuana on him?
 - A. I mean, based on this form, it would appear he has marijuana.
- Q. But does it refresh your recollection as to at the time --

21

22

23

24

- It doesn't. 1 Α. 2 Now, if we go back to the Felony Investigative 3 Report, did you see or do you see any mention of 4 marijuana? Are we talking about March 12th? 5 Α. Sure. We can start with March 12th. 6 Q. 7 There is no marijuana charge, discussed or Α. 8 listed. 9 Q. Okay. How about March 5th? 10 No, sir. Α. Do you know why that would be? 11 Ο. 12 Α. Probably because it's a misdemeanor amount of 13 marijuana. Maybe he wasn't stacking charges. I really 14 can't speak to why those charges weren't filed. 15 Ο. What does stacking charges mean? 16 Just hitting a defendant with every single 17 offense, I'd guess you'd say, like overwhelming him, 18 trying to force a plea deal. 19 Q.
 - Q. So if Sherrod Smith was charged with marijuana, would it have typically been in the Felony Investigative Report?
 - A. If I was the charging officer completing this document, yes, it would have been there.
 - Q. Was it a red flag to you that a number of
 Aspirin's controlled buy targets had marijuana on them

when they were taken down?

MR. PETTEY: Objection.

THE WITNESS: At that point in time, it wasn't a concern to me, just because marijuana use is so recreational.

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. So when did it become a concern?
- A. Going back after the May 21st incident, going back and reviewing all the cases, that's kind of when we were like, "Okay, the light bulb started going on."
- Q. And why was it different after you went back and reviewed all the cases?
- A. Because we debriefed that one subject at the DA's office and he admitted to selling marijuana to the informant, and it started making sense.

If you look at all the -- it's like a jigsaw puzzle. If you look at all the little pieces, we were able to kind of start piecing it together. Even though not all the pieces are there, you could kind of look at it and start to see the picture.

Q. Okay. I'm going to show you the search warrant from May 21st, 2020. It's marked as Exhibit 4.

(Exhibit 4 was identified for the record.)
BY MR. SCHEWEL:

Q. Have you seen this document?

- A. I don't believe so.
 - Q. And I'm going to ask you to actually go ahead and take this one out, because some of the pages are upside down, and arrange it so you can see it.

So your testimony -- and I guess I just asked you to look through Exhibit 4. Just to be clear, you've never seen any of these documents?

- A. I don't recall ever seeing these documents. It would appear this was a search warrant drafted and obtained by Detective Abdullah.
- Q. And you didn't see it in preparation for your deposition today?
- A. For today, no. I don't recall ever seeing any of this information.
- Q. Okay. What time do you recall the warrant being executed on May 21st, 2020?
- A. I remember it was during daytime hours.

 According to this return, we executed it at 1:35 in the afternoon.
 - Q. Does that seem accurate to you?
 - A. I would say that seems accurate.
- Q. Okay. I want to turn back to May 20th, 2020, so one day prior. Do you recall working with Abdullah and Aspirin to conduct a controlled buy on this date?
 - A. I know a controlled purchase occurred that day

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

24

that led to this event. I don't remember much about it, other than I'm sure I was there as a security officer.

- Q. Well, you're sure you were there or do you recall being there?
- A. I don't recall specifically being there the day before.
- Q. So you don't recall if you traveled to Raleigh North Apartments on May 20th, 2020?
- A. After this much time has passed, I believe I was there the day before on the 20th. I just don't remember specifics about it.
- Q. Do you recall if Aspirin rode with you in your vehicle on May 20th, 2020?
 - A. I believe he rode with me on the 21st.
- Q. What about on -- sorry.
 - A. I do not recall him riding with me on the 20th.
- Q. On May 20th, did you observe Aspirin make a purchase from Marcus VanIrvin?
- A. I do not recall personally witnessing a transaction, no.
 - Q. Do you recall if you witnessed a transaction on the 10-21 app?
 - A. I do not recall. We're talking about the 20th still, right?
- 25 Q. The 20th.

- A. Yeah, I don't recall.
 - Q. Okay. Can you turn to page 4 of the warrant?

 And I guess this is actually page 4 of the warrant

 application.

Okay. So I'm going to read starting at the top of page 4. "After the confidential informant spoke to Marcus, the confidential informant then went to the subject's apartment located at 1628 Burgundy Street, Apartment B, Raleigh, NC 27610. The buy was monitored using electronic and physical surveillance. The confidential informant was then observed by detectives being let into the residence by the target of this investigation, Marcus, and an amount of heroin was then purchased from the subject for an amount of U.S. currency."

Did you observe that?

- A. I do not recall specifically observing this event.
- Q. Did you ever tell Officer Abdullah that you observed that?
- A. I don't recall telling Detective Abdullah that I observed this event
- Q. Do you recall any other detectives telling Officer Abdullah that they observed that happen?
- A. I don't recall any other conversations between

25

| 1 | Detective Abdullah and a different detective. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Do you recall if another detective was with you |
| 3 | on the 20th? |
| 4 | A. I could speculate that another one had to have |
| 5 | been there, but I do not recall. |
| 6 | Q. Why do you say that? |
| 7 | A. We try to have at least three people present. |
| 8 | It's not always possible, but try to have three present. |
| 9 | Q. Did you meet with Aspirin after this buy? |
| LO | A. I don't recall meeting with Aspirin after this |
| L1 | buy. |
| L2 | Q. Do you recall observing the alleged heroin he |
| L3 | had purchased? |
| L4 | A. No, sir. |
| L5 | MR. SCHEWEL: Do y'all want to take a break for |
| L6 | lunch? |
| L7 | MR. PETTEY: We can. How much longer do you |
| L8 | believe? |
| L9 | MR. SCHEWEL: I've got about ten pages, which is |
| 20 | about less than a third of my questions, so not too long, |
| 21 | actually. Probably about an hour and a half. |
| 22 | MR. PETTEY: First, what do you want to do? |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: I'm at y'all's mercy. |

MR. PETTEY: What do you all want to do?

MS. KIBLER: I don't care.

| 1 | MR. SCHEWEL: Let's get lunch. Can we go off |
|----|---|
| 2 | the record? |
| 3 | (Luncheon recess from 1:19 p.m. to 2:01 p.m.) |
| 4 | BY MR. SCHEWEL: |
| 5 | Q. Okay. So before we broke, we were talking about |
| 6 | May 20th, 2020. I want to turn to May 21st, the day the |
| 7 | warrant was executed at my client's home. |
| 8 | Prior to executing the search warrant, you met |
| 9 | with the vice team to discuss the operation? |
| LO | A. Correct. |
| L1 | Q. And the SEU team was present as well? |
| L2 | A. Correct. |
| L3 | Q. And at the meeting, Abdullah went over the |
| L4 | target of the buy? |
| L5 | A. Correct. |
| L6 | Q. And the type of narcotics he was going to be |
| L7 | purchasing? |
| L8 | A. Yes, sir. |
| L9 | Q. Which was heroin? |
| 20 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 21 | Q. Not fake heroin? |
| 22 | A. Correct. |
| 23 | Q. And that you were he was using Aspirin? |
| 24 | A. I believe it was known that Aspirin was going to |
| 25 | be there, yes. |

- Okay. Well, did you later learn that Aspirin 1 Ο. was his CI for this? 2 Α. Yes. 3 4 Q. And did he go over that Aspirin had previously purchased heroin from the target? 5 Α. Yes, sir. 6 7 And that he was going to purchase heroin again Ο. 8 prior to the execution of the warrant? 9 Α. Yes, sir. 10 Okay. I'm going to show you Exhibit 3. Have Ο. you seen this document? 11 12 Α. No, sir.
 - Q. Well, earlier today you stated that you had seen some text messages.
 - A. That is correct, but not this one.
 - Q. Not that one?
- 17 A. Correct.

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

- Q. Can you turn to the second page? Is that the one you've seen?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. You can go back to page 1. Okay. So this is Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 and it is the text messages from Officer Gwinn's cell phone.
- 24 (Exhibit 3 was identified for the record.)
- 25 BY MR. SCHEWEL:

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- So on May 21st, 2020, Officer Gwinn sends a text 1 Ο. that says "Front Street 2:30 for Omar. 2 I started this because Slim Thug wasn't on the other thread." Who is 3 4 Slim Thug? 5 Α. Detective Gay. Why was she called Slim Thug? 6 Q. 7 Α. Just because she's lanky and tall and skinny. 8 Q. And Monroe gives a thumbs up. Do you see that? 9 Yes, sir. Α. 10 And then someone responds, "That's fine. 0. texted her earlier." Is that Officer Abdullah or do you 11 believe that that's Officer Abdullah who's responding? 12
 - A. I don't -- I don't know whose phone number that is.
 - Q. Well, based off the context of the conversation, do you believe that to be Officer Abdullah?
 - A. Looking at the follow-up message from Detective Monroe, I believe that that 984 phone number is, in fact, Detective Abdullah.
 - Q. Okay. And do you believe that you were on this text thread?
 - A. I don't believe so because the texts read shows four people and there's four people conversing, and I'm not one of them.
 - Q. Okay. So we have Gwinn, Monroe --

- A. Actually, I'm sorry. There's three people conversing. I don't know who the fourth is.
 - Q. Okay. Do you recall being on this text thread?
- A. I don't.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Okay. So let's look at page two. So this is also May 21, 2020, at 2:44 p.m., and this is a different thread?
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And this has you, David Rattelade, Rishar Monroe and Officer Gay?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And you text, "Place your bets here," with two exclamation points.
 - A. Yes.
- 15 Q. What were you betting on?
- 16 MR. PETTEY: Objection.
 - THE WITNESS: It's just a gesture of dark humor, trying to figure out what the results of the search and the takedown were going to be.
 - BY MR. SCHEWEL:
 - Q. And what did you -- well, I'll just say you predicted or you wrote in your text, "7 grams brown sugar mixture."
 - A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Why were you predicting that Aspirin would

produce a brown sugar mixture?

- A. At this point in the string of investigations, even though I hadn't seen any of the lab results or the products from the prior cases, it was not my belief that he was purchasing real heroin. I thought he was being played by the targets.
- Q. So why was that your belief even if you hadn't seen any of the other heroin?
- A. Just -- like we discussed earlier, the succession of the cases, the frequency of them, the small buy, big up, doing a takedown or search warrant really quick like that just -- to me, it didn't add up, so I thought that he was being played and that these suspects or targets were selling fake drugs to the CI.
- Q. Was the manipulation of the buy camera also a factor?
- A. Everything we've discussed today would be a total factor, yes.
 - Q. Why did you predict 12 grams of weed?
 - A. Just a random number.
 - Q. Why weed?
 - A. It's common to get weed on a takedown.
- Q. So your testimony is that your prediction of weed had nothing to do with the fact that this was Aspirin?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 MR. PETTEY: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I would say correct. I mean, we recover weed no matter what. It's everywhere.

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. Okay. How about nonbuy money?
- A. That was basically saying that the suspect's going to have money on him, but it wasn't going to be our money.
 - Q. Why was that?
 - A. Drug dealers carry around money.
- Q. I know, but why were you predicting that the drug dealer would not have RPD buy money?
 - A. Because it would get passed off or something would go haywire. Things just always went nuts with him, kind of like the case we discussed earlier where the vehicle drove off with the money. Just --
 - Q. How about 3 red flags?
 - A. Strictly talking about the warnings, as far as adjusting the camera, concealing it, not speaking up correctly, delaying a buy signal. It's strictly related to the CI's behavior.
 - Q. Okay. And so Detective Monroe responds. He says, "For sure fake heroin." He also says, "Water billing," with a question mark. What does that mean?
- 25 A. It would appear that he's calling into question

whether or not Abdullah has taken all the investigative steps he needs.

- Q. Is water billing an investigative step?
- A. It could be, yes. It would -- in this particular instance, it wouldn't matter because it's government housing, so it wouldn't -- it's a community water bill. It doesn't -- it's not like a single-family residence where you'd have target-specific information that could be beneficial to your investigation.
- Q. So the resident's name wouldn't be on the water bill?
 - A. At this property, no.
- Q. Okay. What about, "Talk with Gina who knows apartment manager who can identify tenant," question mark?
- A. That would be another investigative step that could have been done. She is one of our gang liaisons. At the time, I believe she did have a relationship with one of the managers there, and then one of the other managers, from my recollection, was not friendly to the department, so it was -- I think the higher-ranking manager was not friendly to law enforcement. The lower one was, and I believe Gina had a working relationship with her, so she potentially could have reached out to that person and said, "Hey, who do you have living in

this apartment?"

- Q. And the apartment being the apartment on the warrant?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. How about, "Advise lieutenant of search?"
- A. Basically saying that a supervisor has to be notified and present of the search. The reason it would say a lieutenant on this day is because Sergeant Rolfe was off on this day, so the next step up in the chain of command would be the lieutenant.
- Q. Okay. And just going back to the previous thread that you think you were not on, is that why Monroe was telling Omar to contact a South Side supervisor for the search?
- A. Correct. He was trying to -- he's basically making a predictive thought that Omar had failed to contact a supervisor and was reminding him that he needed to do so.
 - Q. Before the search?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And so then Officer Gay says, "Flushed dope, fake gun, no buy money, foot pursuit," and then you respond with an emoji of Justin Timberlake laughing and saying, "Oh, stop it."
- A. Correct.

- Q. Did you think that this was funny?
- A. As far as -- I mean, it's just dark humor that the CI is going to get played and Omar keeps falling for it. There's no humor in -- or was there ever any thought that a defendant was being wrongly detained or wrongly charged.
- Q. Well, if you're predicting that there's going to be brown sugar mixture or fake heroin --
- A. We're predicting that the defendants were -were -- they were completing a felony offense of selling
 fake drugs. We did not think, at the time, that the
 informant was planting drugs. We thought that these guys
 were selling fake drugs to our informant.
- Q. But if you're then charging someone with trafficking heroin --
 - A. There's no "we." It was Detective Abdullah.
- Q. If he's charging someone with trafficking heroin, isn't that a wrongful charge?
- A. If he has knowledge that there's -- that the drug is not what he's charging, then yes, that would be an issue.
- Q. And based on this text message chain, at least that's what you were predicting?
- A. We were predicting, but we had no results. It was complete predict -- we were just predicting it.

So you're saying if you had known that -- well, 1 Ο. 2 I'll strike that. You didn't think it was funny that people were 3 4 being wrongfully arrested? 5 MR. PETTEY: Objection. MR. BLANCHARD: Objection. 6 7 MS. DIXON: Objection. 8 THE WITNESS: I would never think that's funny. 9 BY MR. SCHEWEL: 10 And that you didn't think that was funny here. Ο. 11 That wasn't the purpose of this emoji? 12 Α. The humor here was the incompetence of the CI 13 and the investigator that's running that operation. 14 Q. Was it -- did you feel that that reflected 15 negatively on you in any way? 16 No. I had a very strong reputation with the 17 DA's office, through the court system and my supervisors and chain of command. 18 19 Q. Did this incident or string of incidents affect 20 your reputation in any way? 21 Since then, yes, negatively. Α. 22 Ο. How so? 23 I've been accused in the courthouse of being a 24 dirty cop. I've been harassed on the street by people 25 calling me out by name, say I'm a dirty cop. I've had my

name published in the media in a negative light.

- Q. What about internally?
- A. Internally, I've been cleared of any wrongdoing.
- Q. But have other cops in RPD treated you any sort of negative way?
 - A. No.
- Q. And when you're saying in the courthouse you were called a dirty cop, who called you a dirty cop?
- A. Not to my face, but behind my back, one of the defense attorneys that represented some of the clients from the first lawsuit.
 - Q. So you didn't hear that or you did hear it?
- A. It was relayed to me, and then I've, since then, while in court, had attorneys kind of -- when discussing cases, kind of question my reliability, whether or not we're trying to negotiate plea deals or anything to that effect.
- Q. And is that related to cases with Aspirin or just generally?
- A. Because I'm attached to these lawsuits and Omar, what happened with Aspirin and Omar, it's just a global effect. It's tied to me, it's tied to Gay, it's tied to Monroe, it's tied to everybody.
 - Q. And do you feel like that's unfair?
 - A. Considering that I was one of the two

1 | whistleblowers, I do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And you said "whistleblowers." Who's the other one?
 - A. Detective Monroe.
 - Q. And how were y'all the whistleblowers?
- A. Because we finally figured it out and reported it to our chain of command and, ultimately, the DA's office.
- Q. Do you wish you had gone over Sergeant Rolfe's head sooner?
 - MR. BLANCHARD: Objection.
 - MS. DIXON: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: I don't, because I didn't know then what I know now, or what I knew -- what we figured out on May 22nd.

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. And what did you figure out on May 22nd?
- A. That Abdullah -- or not Abdullah. The informant -- after reviewing the video, me and Monroe came to the conclusion that the informant was lying about what he was there to buy, what he had purchased and the entire -- pretty much everything.
- Q. How is that different than what you've stated in your text messages on May 21st, 2020?
 - A. The humor in that is around these suspects

selling intentionally to the informant a fake controlled substance. On May 22nd, we determined that that wasn't the case, or we believed it wasn't the case, that the informant was the one playing the detective by planting the fake drugs, and that's when we went to the bosses with a much bigger problem.

It was our belief the entire time that, even though there may or may not be, you know, for lack of better words, crimes charged with the wrong drugs, that they were still committing a felony offense, that they were still selling counterfeit drugs.

That was our belief the entire time, and after May 21st, we were very fortunate that the next morning, we discussed what went down. Our frustrations were very high. We saw the body-worn camera sitting on Abdullah's desk.

There's no reason for us to ever watch any of that footage because it's not our case, and we took the camera, downloaded it, reviewed the footage, and that's when we had -- pardon my French -- a holy-shit moment and started picking it apart and collaborating. And then we went to the bosses immediately with it.

- Q. So when you say you saw the body camera on his desk, you saw his -- what body camera did you see?
 - A. It's a button camera that's a covert camera that

the informant wears. It does not wirelessly transmit.

It's -- all the data is stored on the device itself.

- Q. And so y'all went on your own, took the camera and downloaded and watched it?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And the videos, where are they stored?
- A. That -- the body-worn video would be stored, I'm assuming, on the assigned detective's hard drive. The phone videos would be stored on a third-party server that we contract with.
 - Q. And do you have access to that?
- A. Not to the detectives' files. I would have access to the phone files, but I would have no reason to look at them other than my personal cases.
- Q. And by the phone files, you mean the 10-21 app files?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So I just want to make sure I'm clear. With the earlier cases, you didn't think it was as big of an issue because you felt, at least, you had probable cause to charge them with selling fake heroin?
- A. We believed that probable cause existed that a crime was occurring. We thought the entire time that these people were selling -- or not the entire time, but leading up towards, as this progressed on and on and on,

it was our belief that he was being sold fake heroin, but we didn't have the personal, intimate details of each investigation and lab results to definitively say that because they weren't our cases.

At the end of the day, the investigator has to work his case and then collaborate with the DA's office to successfully prosecute them. Do we collaborate with each other? If you have a professional working relationship, yes, but Detective Abdullah did not have that relationship with us.

- Q. What level felony is selling fake narcotics?
- A. Class I, I believe.
 - Q. What is trafficking heroin?
- A. Depending on the level, I think the highest level is a class C felony for level 3 trafficking, I think spanning from there to class G for the trafficking and then class H for possession with intent.
- Q. So did you not think it was an issue that folks were being charged with a much higher level felony than they were actually -- what you believed you had probable cause for?

22 MR. PETTEY: Objection.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. As far as the class, it didn't, I guess, ring into my thought of the process, in my mind. The charges would be -- you know, if the system

works like it's supposed to work, then these lab results would show that the drugs were fake and the charges would be amended accordingly to the proper charge.

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. So back to the text messages on May 21, 2020. I think you stated earlier that Gina was an individual who worked with the gang unit at RPD; is that correct?
- A. She's a civilian employee that does, like, gang outreach.
 - Q. Okay. And had you worked with Gina in the past?
- A. I have.
- Q. And what type of information has Gina provided you in the past?
 - A. Helping me out with getting information about people that may or may not be involved in criminal activity in this same neighborhood.
 - Q. Has she ever provided you with the people living at a specific location?
 - A. One case, in particular, I remember. She confirmed -- she came to me with a tip about a residence and provided the name of the resident who lived there.
 - Q. Why do you think Officer Abdullah did not attempt to verify who was living at the address where you executed the search warrant on this day?
- A. I have no idea why he didn't do it.

- Q. Are you surprised that he didn't?
- A. No.

- Q. Okay. So I'm going to the next page where we have the Justin Timberlake emoji, and then, in green, Gwinn says, "Aspirin is already in Omar's car and definitely foot pursuit." What does it mean, "Aspirin is already in Omar's car"?
- A. So basically, because this was a takedown operation with a search warrant, Aspirin should be walking out of the residence and giving the signal simultaneously, giving us the time to get there, secure the location and the target.

Basically, he's predicting that Aspirin's not going to throw the signal at the correct time and then will get into Omar's car, say -- Omar would then ask him, "Did the buy happen?" Then he would say, "Yes," and then Omar would get on the radio and say, "Go, go, go," allowing so much time to pass that the target, you know, could come outside, see us coming, and then it would turn into a foot pursuit.

- Q. And that had happened in the past?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And then if we turn to the following page, we have Officer Gay with three laughing emojis and then you state, "More brown sugar."

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. What do you mean by "more brown sugar"?
- 3 A. Just I'm assuming -- this was on the 21st?
 - Q. Yeah. This is still part of the same chain. If you go back one page --
 - A. Okay.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. -- you'll see the top of Meghan Gay's three emojis.
- A. Okay.
- Q. And then it looks like a screenshot by Gwinn on the next page.
- A. Without seeing the time stamp, it -- I'm either just restating my earlier text about the prediction that we're going to get fake drugs. That would be my best quess.
- Q. So earlier you testified that you had only seen the drugs, the heroin produced by Aspirin on one occasion.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Why were you predicting more brown sugar if you'd only seen it on one occasion?
- A. Because I was just confident he wasn't buying real dope.
- Q. How were you confident in that if you had never seen it?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 Α. Just the pattern of the way these cases were 2 being managed. I just didn't believe it was true. was too good to be true. 3 4 Q. So you thought that the drugs were brown sugar 5 even though you had never seen them? It's just a presumptive -- I guess a guess is 6 Α. 7 the best way to describe it. 8 Ο. I mean, had you heard from anyone else on the 9 vice team that they were brown sugar? 10 I mean, we had the negative field test that was 11 discussed before. Other than that, it was just a 12 speculation that it was -- he was buying fake stuff. 13 Are you saying other people were speculating as Q. 14 to that or you were speculating as to that? 15 I think, I mean, it was me and others were
 - A. I think, I mean, it was me and others were speculating that he was likely purchasing fake drugs, but without seeing any lab results, we didn't know for sure.
 - Q. Okay. But you had never actually seen it until May 21st?
 - A. May 21st was when I laid eyes -- just the way that day played out, I ended up having to go scoop up the informant and he handed me the package, and because the -- I just happened to fortuitously be in that place at that time, in that moment, with that assignment. I was able to visually inspect it, say, "This isn't right,"

conduct a field test and confront Detective Abdullah with those results.

- Q. Okay. And that was the first time you'd seen it?
- A. For that -- for that product -- we're talking about May 21st?
- Q. Let me be more specific. That was the first time you had ever seen the alleged heroin produced by Aspirin?
- A. On a buy walk operation, it would have been the first, if not second. I mean, I probably -- based on discussing the conversation with Detective Monroe at the first field testing, there's a good chance that I may have seen that product or at least have been there during the field test.
 - Q. Okay. So you think maybe on January 2nd, also?
- A. Whatever that date was that Monroe did the field test.
- Q. Okay. And then -- but you're saying the rest of your speculation was based off of concerns you had about Aspirin, but then also other things that you had heard vice members talking about?
- A. It was just concerns over the CI and then the concerns on the pattern in which these buys were happening.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

heroin?

- Had you heard any other members of the vice team 1 Ο. 2 talking about the possibility that Aspirin was producing brown sugar? 3 4 Α. We never -- it never crossed our mind that the 5 informant was producing this product. Had you heard any other members of the vice team 6 Q. 7 speculate that Aspirin was being sold brown sugar and not
 - A. It had been discussed that the CI was being duped and being sold -- I don't think the word "brown sugar," maybe just fake drugs, because heroin and brown sugar look nothing alike.
 - Q. And who did you hear discussing that?
 - A. It would have been just various members of the drug unit.
 - Q. So what I'm confused about is, how were you predicting brown sugar if no one had mentioned brown sugar?

MR. PETTEY: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I mean, I just -- I can't speak to why I came up with brown sugar. It's just whatever fake substance I would have come up with.

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

Q. Okay. So you were pretty confident that there was a fake substance, but brown sugar was just the first

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thing that came to your mind?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And on the 21st when you saw that product, was that brown sugar?
 - A. In my mind, it was brown sugar.
- Q. Had you previously placed bets on what Aspirin would produce?
- A. I'm sure we did. I don't have personal knowledge or recollection of actual bets, but, you know, it's the dark humor of, you know, the stressful world that we live in and operate in and just the frustration of working with this detective and informant.
- Q. And do you recall whether or not you or your colleagues placed bets on Aspirin producing brown sugar?
 - A. Specific- --

MR. PETTEY: Objection.

THE WITNESS: Specifically brown sugar, I don't recall.

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. How about producing fake heroin?
- A. I can't specifically say that I remember a conversation where we said fake heroin was going to be produced, but I'm sure that we did because this had gone on for, I believe, a few months.
- Q. And you think that was said in a text message?

- A. Honestly, it was probably more face-to-face. I
 mean, we would eat together, stuff like that, so it was
 probably more face-to-face conversation or even just
 being in the office together whenever Abdullah wasn't
 around.

 Q. Okay. And the vice team members, just so I'm
 - Q. Okay. And the vice team members, just so I'm clear, who would have been involved in these conversations were yourself?
 - A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

- O. Monroe?
- A. Yes.
 - 0. Gay?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Gwinn?
- A. For a very short period of time. He was, like, brand-new.
- 17 Q. How about Sergeant Rolfe?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Would Sergeant Rolfe eat with y'all?
- 20 A. Yes.
 - Q. So on this operation where you predicted Aspirin would produce brown sugar, why did you not step in and stop this buy from occurring?
- A. Because it was still a crime to sell a counterfeit drug to an informant.

- 1 Ο. So it didn't matter to you that the drug that 2 you were after was heroin? Repeat that one more time. 3 Α. 4 Q. It didn't matter to you that the drug that 5 Abdullah claimed his CI was buying was heroin? Α. I mean, I can't --6 7 MS. DIXON: Objection to form. 8 THE WITNESS: I can't control what he -- where 9 his investigations are going, what direction they're 10 heading in or what his intentions are of buying. It's --11 I mean, literally, as we just discussed a moment ago, as 12 soon as I realized something was not right, I mean, we 13 immediately went to our bosses. We immediately 14 intervened. 15 BY MR. SCHEWEL: 16 Well, actually, you went to Sergeant Rolfe well 17 before this, right? 18 Α. With general concerns. 19 MR. BLANCHARD: Objection. 20 BY MR. SCHEWEL: 21 Are you aware that Officer Monroe called 22
 - Lieutenant Bunch prior to this search warrant execution?
 - Α. I'm not aware of a phone call.

24

25

Are you aware that he called Lieutenant Bunch to Q. express concerns to Lieutenant Bunch about this warrant?

1 MR. PETTEY: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I am not -- I personally know nothing about this phone call.

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. I mean, if you had concerns like you did about 7 grams brown sugar mixture that was going to be produced, couldn't you have called Lieutenant Bunch and told him that?
- A. There would be no reason for me to call the lieutenant because, in my mind, a crime is still occurring. It's still illegal for someone to sell fake drugs during a drug transaction, and we didn't, at the time, have the lab results to know for sure that drugs weren't being sold.
- Q. At this time, did you believe that Aspirin was a reliable CI?
- A. As far as reliable, going there, buying drugs and bringing them back, I had no reason not to believe that he was doing that. I thought he was being played. I thought he was being sold fake drugs and he was bringing those fake drugs back to the detective.
- Q. If your CI is repeatedly being played, is that a reliable CI?
- A. I'd say it's on a case-by-case basis.

 Definitely one of those change the facts, change the

outcome kind of situations.

I don't think Abdullah knew that he was being played because he wasn't checking his lab results, so therefore he didn't know that they were being played. We were speculating, but we sure didn't know because it's not my job to check his lab results and put together a discovery packet for the DA's office. That's his job.

- Q. Or to check his body camera?
- A. Correct. It's not my job.
- Q. So even with the concerns you had that you expressed to Rolfe and that are in your text message chain, you still thought that Aspirin was a reliable CI?
- A. Reliable as in he was going in, making a purchase and bringing it back. I thought he was reliable for that. I thought he was -- but as far as the quality of the CI, I didn't -- I mean, I didn't like him.
 - Q. Would you have used him as your CI?
- A. Knowing now what I know, absolutely not. I would have -- you know, if he was to provide me with some information, I would definitely verify it. The old trust but verify, I think, applies here.
- Q. Would you have used him as your CI on the morning of May 21st, 2020 to make a controlled buy?
- A. I don't think it would be fair for me to speak to if I would have used him that day because what I knew

that day is way less than what I know now, and I don't believe -- me, personally, I would have let it -- we wouldn't have got to that point. I mean, I -- I would have been checking behind my informant, checking lab results.

- Q. Well, in your text message, for example, you say "3 red flags."
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Is it possible that you knew there's three red flags about your CI and you're still going to use him to make a controlled buy?
- A. If it was early on in the relationship and I addressed those red flags with him and they heeded my advice and we're, you know, not doing those red flags anymore, I would say absolutely you could still use that informant.

What you're looking for is -- it's a ongoing pattern and consistency of reliability. You know, a young driver gets in a car crash; we don't take their driver's license away. We, you know, talk to them, maybe issue them a citation, send them to safe driver's school and send them on their way.

It's kind of the same thing with an informant.

They can make a mistake and then coach them and continue to monitor their behavior. It's when this poor behavior

is ongoing. Me, personally, I would have uncovered this and dealt with it.

- Q. And as of May 21, 2020, this behavior had been ongoing with Aspirin?
 - A. With hindsight, yes.
- Q. Well, you had raised it to your sergeant multiple times.
 - MR. BLANCHARD: Objection.
 - MS. DIXON: Objection.
 - MR. PETTEY: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: We had raised behavior; just the job performance of Abdullah was raised over the years because this predated Aspirin ever being an informant.

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. Okay. So I just want to be sure that I have an answer to the question whether or not you would have used him as your CI to make a controlled buy on May 21, 2020, and I think your answer is that you're not sure?
- A. Would you like the response in the context of what I knew that day?
 - Q. What you knew that day.
- A. I mean, yeah, I wouldn't have had an issue sending him in there if I was in Abdullah's shoes because I thought my informant was going in there to buy drugs.

 The -- me, personally, I don't -- he wasn't my informant.

I didn't monitor him. He wasn't my responsibility.

I just knew enough peripheral information that I was suspicious of him. I don't think it would be fair to ask me to make an opinionated statement about whether I would have used him that day because, A, he wasn't my informant, B, I would -- didn't use him because he wasn't my informant.

- Q. So after sending these text messages, what happened?
- A. Informant went in, was -- informant was deployed, went into the residence, walked into the kitchen in the residence. There was another buyer ahead of him in line. That buyer completed their drug transaction with, I guess, Mr. VanIrvin.

That person exited the residence with an open-carry firearm. That information was broadcast over the radio. The supposed transaction then occurred between Aspirin and VanIrvin.

At some point, a takedown signal was given. The SEU team moved in on the residence and then I moved in on the guy with the open-carry firearm.

- Q. How do you know that someone made a buy from VanIrvin prior to Aspirin?
- A. From watching the live feed and then whoever was in direct surveillance at the house calling out that he

1 was exiting.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. So the live feed, you're saying, shows someone else in the house with VanIrvin?
- A. Yeah, it shows a guy standing with him conducting some sort of transaction, and then that person does complete their transaction because he admitted to me during his brief detention that he had conducted a drug transaction with VanIrvin. He leaves the residence and then Aspirin steps up and conducts his business with VanIrvin.
- Q. Did you watch the live recording on the 10-21 app afterwards?
- A. Afterwards? I don't believe so. I think all we watched was the body-worn camera.
- Q. So what you're testifying to today is what you recall seeing on the 10-21 app?

MR. PETTEY: Objection.

MS. DIXON: Objection.

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat that?

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. Yeah. So earlier you testified that someone else goes in first that's ahead of Aspirin, they make a buy.
- A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. I'm wondering, did you -- are you testifying to

25

what you observed live on the 10-21 app or are you saying 1 2 that you had gone back and seen that later? MR. PETTEY: Objection. He also testified he 3 4 talked to the person, so it's not just an either/or. 5 MR. SCHEWEL: Okay. MR. PETTEY: You can answer. 6 7 THE WITNESS: Okay. And my testimony is that 8 there -- I determined that this guy conducted a -- or had 9 likely conducted a transaction with VanIrvin. He exited 10 the residence with an open-carry firearm, and I approached that person and conducted a brief detention, 11 12 identifying him, determining that he was not a felon, the 13 weapon was not stolen. He was lawfully in possession of 14 the weapon. 15 He did say that he had just left the residence, 16 had just purchased marijuana and then gave me the 17 marijuana. He was then released. 18 BY MR. SCHEWEL: 19 Q. So what about the part where you testified that you saw him on the video standing there doing some sort 20 21 of transaction? 22 MR. PETTEY: Objection. 23 THE WITNESS: I mean, could it have been from

the body-worn camera? Possibly. There was -- I know for

a fact that we have a video that shows this person

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

21

22

23

24

25

standing in front of the CI. Whether it was the body-worn camera or the 10-21, I'm not sure. I could be blending the two camera feeds.

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. Okay. Okay. So just backing up a little bit, after sending the text message and meeting with the vice team, what was your first movement after that?
 - A. From the initial safety briefing?
- Q. Yes.
- A. Put everyone in place around the residence, drive the informant close to the location and then put them out on foot.
 - Q. Okay. And Aspirin rode with you?
 - A. On this particular day, yes.
- 15 Q. In your vehicle?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And you were driving?
- 18 A. Yes.
 - Q. And Gwinn was your passenger?
- 20 A. I believe so.
 - Q. Where did you park your vehicle?
 - A. The top of the hill, and I believe that's -it's either Burgundy or Millbank Street. I think it's
 Millbank Street, about a block-and-a-half walk to the
 residence.

- 1 Ο. Were you ever in a position where you could 2 observe Aspirin enter a residence with your own eyes? I don't believe so. I think that would have 3 4 been someone else's task. 5 Q. Okay. But just so I'm clear, you were never in a position where you could observe Aspirin enter a 6 7 residence with your own eyes? 8 Physically, not using electronic surveillance, 9 I'm pretty confident that I stayed in my position on 10 Millbank until the signal was given to move in. Did you observe Aspirin enter a residence on the 11 Ο. 12 10-21 app? Whether it was on the live video feed versus the 13 Α. 14 body-worn feed -- it's on video. I don't know which
 - video it is.
 - 0. But you're -- you did observe Aspirin enter a residence?
 - A. After the fact on the video?
 - Q. Just on a video, yes.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- I've seen a video where he walks up, enters the Α. residence and conducts a transaction with a person.
- And by "conducts a transaction," did you actually see an exchange of narcotics and an exchange of money?
 - A. You can hear the discussion. I believe it was

25

going to play it.

Mr. VanIrvin that was like, "What do you want?" I 1 2 believe it was 60, was muffled to the point to where I think Mr. VanIrvin then goes, "What?" And then he says 3 4 60 again, and then a transaction occurs, the CI leaves 5 the house and at some point gives the signal to go, and everyone moves in. 6 7 And Aspirin was given \$800 on that day? 0. 8 Α. I believe that's the amount. 9 So were you surprised when you heard Aspirin 10 asking for 60? 11 Α. That day, I did not hear that. That was the 12 next day when we were reviewing the video. That was our 13 aha moment. 14 Q. Okay. So when you were watching the 10-21 app, you didn't hear the transaction? 15 16 Α. Correct. 17 Okay. All right. Do you mind pressing -- I Q. think it's number 1. 18 19 MR. BLANCHARD: Table box one? 20 MR. SCHEWEL: Yes. Thanks. 21 BY MR. SCHEWEL: 22 Okay. So this is marked by me as Exhibit 9, a 23 VanIrvin 5/21 buy, and I'm starting it at 00 and I'm

(Exhibit 9 was identified for the record.)

| 1 | | (Video recording played.) |
|----|-----------|---|
| 2 | | BY MR. SCHEWEL: |
| 3 | Q. | Did you hear a phone ring? |
| 4 | Α. | Just now? |
| 5 | Q. | Yeah. |
| 6 | Α. | I if I did, I missed it. |
| 7 | Q. | Okay. I'll go back a little bit. |
| 8 | | (Video recording played.) |
| 9 | | THE WITNESS: I hear music. I'm not sure if |
| 10 | that's a | ringtone. |
| 11 | | BY MR. SCHEWEL: |
| 12 | Q. | Was that what your ringtone sounded like at the |
| 13 | time? | |
| 14 | Α. | I don't have ringtones. |
| 15 | Q. | You don't you just leave your phone on |
| 16 | silent? | |
| 17 | А. | No. I mean, it's a standard phone. I don't |
| 18 | have mus: | ical ringtones. |
| 19 | Q. | Okay. And did you hear someone say, "Hey, Rat, |
| 20 | can you t | text me the address?" |
| 21 | А. | Yes. |
| 22 | Q. | Do you know who that was? |
| 23 | А. | I'd have to listen to it again. |
| 24 | Q. | Okay. So I paused it at 28 seconds. I'm going |
| 25 | to go bad | ck to 13. |
| | | |

1 (Video recording played.)

THE WITNESS: That's Officer Kellogg with the K9 unit. He's saying, "I'm late in the game," like he's somewhere else heading our way.

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. Is it common for the K9 unit to come?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. To a takedown?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And they're not part of the SEU unit, right?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Okay. And so did you text him the address?
- 13 A. I'm sure I did.
- 14 Q. How would you have known the address?
- 15 A. From attending the briefing.
- Q. And so you think that Officer Abdullah told you the address?
 - A. Yeah. It would have been on our board. We use a dry-erase board in a briefing room and then -- I don't know if he did it on this particular day, but sometimes we'll actually print out -- we'll have the intelligence center, like, print out a map, so we'll have the address and overhead, like, satellite photo of the area so that we kind of have a lay of the land and know how everything looks out there.

| 1 | Q. What about if the briefing is out in the field? | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | A. If the briefing is on the field, he'll still | | |
| 3 | give us the information and just won't have a dry-erase | | |
| 4 | board, and SEU will not will not hit a house without | | |
| 5 | verifying the address. | | |
| 6 | Q. Okay. I'm going to play again at 28 seconds. | | |
| 7 | And sorry, before I do that, which camera is this that | | |
| 8 | we're watching from? | | |
| 9 | A. I believe it's honestly, I don't know. I'd | | |
| 10 | have to watch longer to figure it out. | | |
| 11 | Q. Okay. Playing at 28 seconds. | | |
| 12 | (Video recording played.) | | |
| 13 | BY MR. SCHEWEL: | | |
| 14 | Q. Did you just hear someone say a phone number? | | |
| 15 | A. Yeah. | | |
| 16 | Q. Do you know whose phone number that is? | | |
| 17 | A. It sounded like Kellogg was asking for someone | | |
| 18 | to text him the address, so it could be because I didn't | | |
| 19 | send it to him quick enough, maybe he was trying to get | | |
| 20 | it from someone else. It looks like I'm counting money. | | |
| 21 | Q. Okay. And do you think that Kellogg was doing | | |
| 22 | that over | | |
| 23 | A. The radio. | | |
| 24 | Q the radio? Okay. And who all would be | | |
| 25 | would have access to the radio frequency? | | |

25

Everyone on this operation. Anyone in the drug 1 Α. 2 unit and special operations has access to these channels. But Kellogg was in a different unit, right? 3 Q. 4 Α. He is in special operations. It's just a different unit within that division. 5 Okay. So K9 is part of special operations? 6 Q. 7 Correct. Just like the motor unit, the DWI Α. 8 unit, the horse unit. There's multiple units in that 9 division. 10 Ο. All of them would have access to this frequency? Not all of them. The -- this particular 11 Α. 12 frequency is a drug frequency and it's a need-to-know 13 channel, so it's only certain members of the department 14 have this channel. 15 Okay. So people who are actually part of this Ο. 16 operation? 17 Α. Correct. 18 Q. All right. I'm going to play again at 1:03. 19 (Video recording played.) 20 THE WITNESS: This is the phone. 21 BY MR. SCHEWEL: 22 And you know that because it's being held up? Ο. 23 Α. No, because of the echo. Actually, now, this

REED & ASSOCIATES 980.339.3575

looks like it might be the body-worn camera and then he's

picking up the echo from me turning the phone on.

| 1 | (Video recording played.) | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | THE WITNESS: That's the body-worn camera. | | |
| 3 | BY MR. SCHEWEL: | | |
| 4 | Q. Okay. Did he just state the intersection that | | |
| 5 | you all were at? | | |
| 6 | A. No. I was giving him a sounds like a pickup | | |
| 7 | location. | | |
| 8 | Q. Which was what? | | |
| 9 | A. Raleigh and Crabtree. | | |
| 10 | Q. And that's an intersection? | | |
| 11 | A. Yes, probably a couple blocks away. | | |
| 12 | Q. Did you frisk Aspirin before he entered your | | |
| 13 | vehicle? | | |
| 14 | A. No. That would have been done by should have | | |
| 15 | been done by Abdullah. | | |
| 16 | Q. Do you recall observing that? | | |
| 17 | A. I don't. | | |
| 18 | Q. Did you ever frisk Aspirin? | | |
| 19 | A. I don't recall ever frisking Aspirin. He had a | | |
| 20 | little bit of a body odor issue. I liked to stay away | | |
| 21 | from him. | | |
| 22 | Q. Okay. I'm going to fast-forward now and I'll | | |
| 23 | just let's see here. This is 8:27, so I'm pausing at | | |
| 24 | 8:32. Here where the camera is obscured, why do you | | |
| 25 | think the camera is obscured here? | | |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. Because he zipped his jacket up.
 - Q. Did you ever talk to Aspirin about not obscuring the camera?
 - A. I seriously doubt I ever had a conversation like that with him because I wasn't his handler. This is the only time I can personally remember even deploying him.

 I'm assuming I deployed him because Abdullah was probably in the van with the SEU team.
 - Q. Well, Abdullah would have driven the SEU team anytime there was an operation he was in charge of where there was a search warrant execution, right?
 - A. That's typical, yes.
 - Q. Okay. I'm going to fast-forward to 17:27.

 (Video recording played.)

 BY MR. SCHEWEL:
 - Q. Okay. That's the end of the video. So what just happened at the end of the video?
 - A. He got picked up.
- Q. By you?
 - A. It looks like it was me.
 - Q. Okay. And did you say, "That went smooth"?
 - A. That's what it sounds like I said, yes.
- Q. Why did you think it had gone smooth?
- A. Just -- he was in and out, made the transaction and no one got hurt, and sounds like, if I remember

correctly, that -- given the buy bust and people moved in, so just a general statement of saying that went smooth.

- Q. Did he hand you the heroin at that point?
- A. Yes, he handed me the package. I threw it down in my cup holder. I dumped him out behind the shopping center at 1100 Raleigh Boulevard and then went back to the scene because it was getting kind of chaotic out there.
 - Q. Why was it getting chaotic?
- A. I wasn't there when it all -- the initial entry. It's a neighborhood known for coming out and being confrontational with law enforcement, so that could be my speculation. But my help was needed at the scene, so that's why I dumped him out and went back.
- Q. Okay. And when you dumped him out, was he by himself?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you know where he went?
- A. I put him out behind the shopping center and gave him instructions to stay there until Abdullah came and picked him up.
 - O. Did he do that?
- A. I have no idea.
- 25 Q. So did you frisk Aspirin after he came back into

your vehicle?

- A. No, sir.
- Q. When you got back to the scene of the warrant, what happened?

A. I believe the first two -- the primary residence had already been secured, people were inside. There was a few officers standing around outside, I guess, because there was, I believe, some neighbors kind of out and about.

I think there was something going on with a second residence next door. I'm not sure what. And then ultimately I ended up at the third residence. I believe that's where they determined VanIrvin had gone after the initial buy. Spoke with a female. I'm assuming she's a tenant and I'm pretty sure I was the one that spoke to her to get her to kind of calm down.

I think she was -- she had a conversation with someone. I think she was kind of upset, so I calmed her down and then she ended up giving consent for the residence.

I went inside, conducted a search. If I remember correctly, the house was kind of messy, and ultimately an unloaded handgun was found in a diaper pail in a upstairs bedroom.

Q. How did you get to the third residence?

- A. I'm sure someone told me at some point because this investigation had already -- was already underway when I got to the scene, so basically it's one of those deals where someone's telling me, "We need this done," so someone would have told me either, "We think he's in that house" or, "We just took him out of that house." One of those two scenarios, likely, is what played out.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. And then I spoke with the resident. I'm assuming she was the resident, female of the house. She was out on the back steps sitting in a chair, not handcuffed, and she ultimately gave consent.
 - Q. Did you ever see Aspirin go into 1628-B?
 - A. Physically?
 - Q. Sure, physically.
- 16 A. No.
 - Q. Did you ever see him go into that residence on any camera?
 - A. I mean, I saw him go into a residence on camera reviewing it the following day. During the live video --during the actual event as it's occurring, the answer is no because I'm listening to radio traffic, listening to the phone. I'm scanning the area because this is a not friendly neighborhood, so there's a lot going on.
 - Q. Are you sure that Aspirin went into 1628-B?

- A. Am I sure?
 - Q. Are you sure?
- A. I didn't watch him go in there, so I'm not sure. That would be the -- whoever the eyeball surveillance was or the lead detective.
- Q. At a location like the Raleigh North Apartments where -- strike that.

At the Raleigh North Apartments, do you think that Abdullah or another detective would have been able to get close enough to confirm that Aspirin actually went into 1628-B?

- A. I mean, it is possible he could have gotten in there, yes.
 - Q. Where would they have parked?
- A. The driveway forks as you're coming off the main roadway, so it really depends on what door they went in.

 Probably -- he probably needed at least one officer on each side.

It's one of those deals, you change the facts, you change the outcome kind of situation, because sometimes the informants are holding the phone perfectly, and you watch them go to the building and then they disappear in a stairwell. But on the video you watch them go up and you see a 102 on a door and then the door open up, so it's kind of a collaborative effort of

physical and electronic surveillance. But that particular neighborhood is a very difficult one to conduct surveillance in.

- Q. So as you sit here today, you can't say for certain if any detective actually saw Aspirin enter 1628-B?
 - A. I don't know what the other detectives saw, no.
 - Q. Did any of them tell you that they saw that?
 - A. I don't believe.

MR. SCHEWEL: I have no further questions.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. BLANCHARD:

Q. Sergeant Rattelade, my name is Norwood

Blanchard. I represent Sergeant Rolfe, retired Sergeant
Rolfe, I guess you'd say at this point.

When Mr. Schewel was asking you some questions earlier about the -- the earlier buys involving Abdullah and the confidential informant, Aspirin, you mentioned that your conclusion or your belief at the time -- and I think you understood this to be the belief of the other members of the team -- was that Aspirin was being scammed by the suspects; is that correct?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. That they were selling counter- -- counterfeit controlled substances?

1 A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Okay. This search warrant that Mr. Schewel showed you as Exhibit 4, looking at the -- I believe this is the second page of it, the description of items to be seized.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You've got your copy?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Number 2 is controlled substances in violation of G.S. 90-95, including but not limited to heroin. Did I read that correctly?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. Now, if I understood your testimony earlier, one of the conclusions you had about that, about the situation was that, hey, if they're selling controlled -- counterfeit controlled substances, that's a felony as well, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And that's a crime?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And, in fact, that's also covered by 90-95, isn't it?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- 24 \parallel Q. The very same statute that covers heroin, right?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

- 1 Ο. The very same statute that covers marijuana, 2 right? 3
 - Yes, sir. Α.
 - Q. So a controlled substance in violation of 90-95, that includes not just heroin, right?
 - Α. Yes, sir.
 - But also marijuana? Q.
 - Α. Yes, sir.

5

6

7

8

9

10

23

24

- And also controlled -- counterfeit controlled Q. substances --
- 11 Α. Yes, sir.
- -- right? 12 Ο.
- 13 Yes, sir. Α.
- 14 Q. And the warrant application isn't limited just 15 to heroin, is it?
- 16 Α. That's correct.
- 17 U.S. currency is covered by it, right? Q.
- 18 Yes, sir. Α.
- 19 You did, in fact, have probable cause to believe 20 that U.S. currency would be there, didn't you?
- 21 Α. Yes, sir. It's very common in these 22 investigations.
 - Ο. In fact, the controlled -- you were expecting that the controlled buy money would be transmitted from Aspirin to the suspect, right?

1 A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Firearms and ammunition. Did I hear you say you recovered a firearm?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Drug paraphernalia, manufacturing equipment used for manufacturing, packaging of and the sale of controlled substances. You recovered that, too, didn't you?
- A. I believe so. I'd have to look at the evidence card to say specifically what we recovered.
- Q. Well, how about the package that Aspirin brought back to the car with you? Would that qualify as packaging --
 - A. Yes.
 - O. -- of a controlled substance?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Now, none of Mr. Schewel's clients here were charged in this incident, were they, the ones at issue in this lawsuit, right?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. So this lawsuit is about a search, isn't it?
 - A. I believe so, yes.
 - Q. Do you recall on those prior buy situations whether the Raleigh Police Department's marked bills were recovered from suspects?

| 1 | A. In prior buys, yes, bills were recovered. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Did you hear anything during the course of those |
| 3 | events that indicated to you that Aspirin was |
| 4 | gratuitously giving the money to those suspects? |
| 5 | A. No, sir. |
| 6 | Q. So would it be fair to say that your belief was |
| 7 | there was a transaction of some type taking place there? |
| 8 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 9 | Q. In every one of them, right? |
| 10 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 11 | Q. And you caught the guys with the money on their |
| 12 | person? |
| 13 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 14 | Q. And, in fact, at least one of them admitted to |
| 15 | you that he had marijuana and sold it, right? |
| 16 | A. During the yes, sir. |
| 17 | MR. BLANCHARD: I don't have any further |
| 18 | questions for you. Thank you. |
| 19 | MR. PETTEY: Anybody else? |
| 20 | FURTHER EXAMINATION |
| 21 | BY MR. SCHEWEL: |
| 22 | Q. I'm just going to ask a couple follow-up |
| 23 | questions about that. I think you stated this earlier, |
| 24 | but have you ever seen this warrant prior to today? |

A. Prior to today -- it's the first time I've seen

1 | this warrant.

- Q. Okay. So you had no idea what was in it when you were executing it?
- A. I mean, I had a rough idea that -- everything on that is boilerplate language for that introduction.
- Q. Okay. So everything on the description of items to be seized is boilerplate language?
- A. I mean, it's customized to the particular search, but it's routine -- I guess routine language. So, for example, line 1, that matches the first line of all my search warrants as far as residency. It would just be customized to the actual residence.

Line 2 is always the drugs, 3 is always the currency, 4 is always -- I mean, this is a standard list of items to be looked for, and it's been tailored for this address and this particular type of drug.

I mean, there might be a few words here and there that are different between detectives, but, I mean, this is standard information.

- Q. So you're saying that you could guess what you thought was going to be in Abdullah's warrant based on what you typically put in your warrant?
 - A. Yeah. Guns, money, drugs, and documents.
- Q. But you didn't actually observe this or see this?

- 1 A. I don't believe so. No, sir.
- Q. I'm going to ask you to turn to page 3 of the warrant, which is the probable cause affidavit.
 - A. Okay.

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

- Q. And I'm going to direct you to the second paragraph of the probable cause affidavit.
 - A. Within the past 72 hours?
 - Q. Yeah.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And I'll let you go ahead and read that to yourself.
- 12 A. (Witness reviews document.) Okay.
 - Q. The drugs that are alleged to have been sold that are the basis for the probable cause affidavit in this warrant is heroin?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. Not fake heroin?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Not marijuana?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Not any sort of other fake narcotics?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. The firearm that you recovered was recovered from the third residence?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

| 1 | | MR. SCHEWEL: I have no further questions. |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | | MR. BLANCHARD: I have one follow-up about that |
| 3 | Just one | • |
| 4 | | FURTHER EXAMINATION |
| 5 | | BY MR. BLANCHARD: |
| 6 | Q. | I believe in your testimony earlier today you |
| 7 | testified that Sergeant Rolfe was not present for this. | |
| 8 | A. | Correct. He was on vacation. |
| 9 | Q. | So he wouldn't have seen that warrant |
| 10 | A. | Correct. |
| 11 | Q. | either, would he? |
| 12 | A. | No, sir. |
| 13 | | MR. BLANCHARD: Thank you. |
| 14 | | MR. PETTEY: No questions. All done. |
| 15 | | (Whereupon, at 3:14 p.m. on February 28, 2023, |
| 16 | the depo | sition was concluded. Signature was reserved.) |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | * * * * |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

| WITTMESS | CERTIFICATE |
|----------|-------------|
| MITITION | |

(1 of 3)

| I have read the foregoing pages, 1 through | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| 173 inclusive, and find that they contain a correct | | | |
| transcription of the answers made by me to the questions | | | |
| therein recorded, with the exception of corrections | | | |
| as listed on a separate sheet of paper and incorporated | | | |
| into this record. | | | |
| Signed this day of | | | |
| 2023. | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| JULIEN DAVID RATTELADE | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Sworn and subscribed before | | | |
| me this the day of | | | |
| 2023 | | | |
| 2023 | | | |
| Not a rest Dublin | | | |
| Notary Public | | | |
| My Commission Expires: | | | |

ERRATA SHEET

(2 of 3)

Please indicate any corrections to your deposition on this sheet of paper, giving the page number, line number, change and reason for the change, and return this form and the signature page to: Reed & Associates, 2401 Whirlaway Court, Matthews, NC 28105, or to vreed@carolina.rr.com.

The reasons for making changes:

- (1) To clarify the record;
- (2) To conform to the facts; or
- (3) To correct major transcription errors.

| Page no | Line no | Reason: |
|---------|---------|---------|
| Change | | to |
| Page no | Line no | Reason: |
| Change | | to |
| Page no | Line no | Reason: |
| Change | | to |
| Page no | Line no | Reason: |
| Change | | to |
| Page no | Line no | Reason: |
| Change | | to |
| Page no | Line no | Reason: |
| Change | | to |
| Page no | Line no | Reason: |
| Change | | to |
| Page no | Line no | Reason: |
| Change | | to |
| Page no | Line no | Reason: |
| Change | | to |

| | ERRATA SHEET | (3 of 3) |
|------------------|--------------|----------|
| Page no Line no. | Reason: | |
| Change | to | |
| Page no Line no. | Reason: | |
| Change | to | |
| Page no Line no. | Reason: | |
| Change | to | |
| Page no Line no. | Reason: | |
| Change | to | |
| Page no Line no. | Reason: | |
| Change | to | |
| Page no Line no. | Reason: | |
| Change | to | |
| Page no Line no. | Reason: | |
| Change | to | |
| Page no Line no. | Reason: | |
| Change | to | |
| Page no Line no. | Reason: | |
| Change | to | |
| Page no Line no. | Reason: | |
| Change | to | |

Thank You!

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

CERTIFICATE

COUNTY OF FRANKLIN:

I, DEBORAH A. HYDE, Certified Court Reporter and Notary
Public in and for the County of Franklin, State of North
Carolina, do hereby certify:

That SERGEANT JULIEN DAVID RATTELADE appeared before me at the time and place herein aforementioned; was duly sworn in the manner provided by law by me prior to the taking of the foregoing deposition, and that said deposition was taken by me and transcribed under my supervision and direction; and that the foregoing 176 pages constitute a true and correct transcription of the proceedings.

I do further certify that reviewing and signing of the transcript by the witness was reserved.

I do further certify that the persons were present as stated in the appearance page.

I do further certify that I am not of counsel for, or in the employment of, either of the parties in this action, nor am I interested in the results of this action.

This the 17th day of March, 2023.

DEBORAH A. HYDE, Certified Court Reporter

Notary Public Number 20021400234